```
00:00:00.300 --> 00:00:00.700
Okay.
00:00:02.400 --> 00:00:05.500
Thank you, everyone. Good morning. It's 10 o'clock
00:00:05.500 --> 00:00:08.700
and welcome to this issue specific
00:00:08.700 --> 00:00:11.800
hearing into environmental matters, which
00:00:11.800 --> 00:00:13.500
is now open.
00:00:15.400 --> 00:00:18.400
Can I confirm everyone can hear
00:00:18.400 --> 00:00:20.900
me? Clearly? Could you put your hand up if you
00:00:21.900 --> 00:00:25.700
Yes can hear me? Thank you. Okay, thanks.
00:00:25.700 --> 00:00:28.800
I think live streaming
00:00:28.800 --> 00:00:31.100
of the session has commenced.
00:00:32.300 --> 00:00:35.700
Yes, so we will.
11
00:00:38.500 --> 00:00:41.700
Just proceed with some preliminary matters first.
12
00:00:44.200 --> 00:00:47.400
So this is these issue specific hearing number two for
```

```
13
00:00:47.400 --> 00:00:50.300
the application made by Seneca limited the
14
00:00:50.300 --> 00:00:53.300
applicant for an order granting development consent for
15
00:00:53.300 --> 00:00:55.200
the Sonica energy farm project.
16
00:00:56.300 --> 00:00:59.900
My name is Graham Keen. I'm a planning inspector and solicitor
17
00:00:59.900 --> 00:01:02.400
 employed by planning inspectorate and
18
00:01:02.400 --> 00:01:05.400
appointed by the Secretary of State for leveling up housing and
00:01:05.400 --> 00:01:08.700
communities to be the lead member of the panel examining this
00:01:08.700 --> 00:01:09.500
examination.
21
00:01:10.700 --> 00:01:15.000
The development is described as the construction
22
00:01:14.700 --> 00:01:17.300
operation maintenance and decommissioning of
00:01:17.300 --> 00:01:20.700
a Generating Station with a gross electrical output capacity
24
00:01:20.700 --> 00:01:24.100
of over 50 megawatts comprising ground-mounted
25
00:01:23.100 --> 00:01:26.700
solar photovoltaic PV panel
```

```
00:01:26.700 --> 00:01:29.500
arrays the battery energy storage system
27
00:01:29.500 --> 00:01:33.300
with the growth storage capacity of over 50 megawatts connection
28
00:01:32.300 --> 00:01:35.700
to the UK electricity transmission system
29
00:01:35.700 --> 00:01:39.300
and other Associated and ancillary development.
30
00:01:41.200 --> 00:01:44.500
I'll ask the other members of the panel to introduce themselves
31
00:01:44.500 --> 00:01:47.800
and starting to my right with mystery.
32
00:01:50.600 --> 00:01:52.800
Good morning, everyone. Can you hear me? Okay.
00:01:54.300 --> 00:01:54.600
Thank you.
34
00:01:55.400 --> 00:01:59.000
I'm guy Rigby. I'm a chartered civil engineer and a
35
00:01:58.200 --> 00:02:01.900
member of a pool of qualified persons eligible serves
36
00:02:01.900 --> 00:02:03.400
and I examining inspector.
37
00:02:04.200 --> 00:02:07.000
I'm appointed to this panel employed by
38
00:02:07.200 --> 00:02:09.300
the planning inspectors on a fixed term contract.
39
00:02:11.300 --> 00:02:14.300
Thank you, Mr. Rigby. I'll now turn to my colleague
```

```
40
00:02:14.300 --> 00:02:17.300
Mrs. Taylor who is going to be leading us through
41
00:02:17.300 --> 00:02:19.700
the main parts of the agenda today.
42
00:02:20.900 --> 00:02:23.100
Mr. King, I'm Karen Taylor,
43
00:02:23.100 --> 00:02:26.000
I'm a chartered Town planner and chartered member of
44
00:02:26.600 --> 00:02:29.600
the landscape Institute like Mr. Rigby. I'm an
45
00:02:29.600 --> 00:02:33.100
amendment. I'm a member of a pool of qualified persons eligible
46
00:02:32.100 --> 00:02:36.100
to serve as an examining inspector. I'm
47
00:02:35.100 --> 00:02:38.300
also appointed to this panel and employed by
48
00:02:38.300 --> 00:02:41.000
the planning inspector on a fixed term contract.
49
00:02:42.500 --> 00:02:45.600
Thank you Mrs. Taylor together. Then. We are the examining Authority
00:02:45.600 --> 00:02:48.500
for the application and will be reporting to the
51
00:02:48.500 --> 00:02:51.800
Secretary of State for business energy and Industrial strategy
00:02:51.800 --> 00:02:54.700
with a recommendation as to whether the development
```

```
00:02:54.700 --> 00:02:57.300
consent orders should be made and if
54
00:02:57.300 --> 00:02:58.600
so in what form?
55
00:02:59.800 --> 00:03:02.600
The Joint case managers for this project are Michelle
56
00:03:02.600 --> 00:03:06.400
Gregory and Louise haraway miss
00:03:05.400 --> 00:03:08.500
harroway here today in the room at my right
58
00:03:08.500 --> 00:03:11.900
and Mrs. Gregory is providing support remotely
59
00:03:11.900 --> 00:03:14.400
and we're all so assisted here today by
00:03:14.400 --> 00:03:15.600
Ramil Burnley.
61
00:03:16.500 --> 00:03:19.300
If you have any questions or queries about the
62
00:03:19.300 --> 00:03:23.000
examination process or technology, please contact one
63
00:03:22.100 --> 00:03:25.400
of these people now contact details
64
00:03:25.400 --> 00:03:28.400
can be found at the top of any letters you've received or on
65
00:03:28.400 --> 00:03:30.900
the project page of the national infrastructure website.
66
00:03:31.900 --> 00:03:34.300
Please contact a member of the case team if you
```

```
00:03:34.300 --> 00:03:36.500
 need help at today's events.
68
00:03:38.500 --> 00:03:41.200
So for those attending on-line, please make
69
00:03:41.200 --> 00:03:44.300
 sure that you stay muted unless you're speaking. If you
70
00:03:44.300 --> 00:03:47.300
wish to speak at a relevant point, please use the hands
71
00:03:47.300 --> 00:03:50.500
up function and wait to be invited to speak or
72
00:03:50.500 --> 00:03:52.600
asked to speak at the relevant time.
73
00:03:53.400 --> 00:03:56.200
Or alternatively please turn on your camera so that
00:03:56.200 --> 00:03:57.700
we can see that you wish to speak.
7.5
00:03:58.900 --> 00:04:01.300
The digital recordings are retained
76
00:04:01.300 --> 00:04:04.500
and published and they form a public record that can contain your
personal
77
00:04:04.500 --> 00:04:08.800
information and the general data protection regulations
78
00:04:07.800 --> 00:04:11.300
apply pins planning
79
00:04:10.300 --> 00:04:13.100
 inspectorates Pat practice is to
```

```
80
00:04:13.100 --> 00:04:16.900
retain and publish recordings for a period of five years from
81
00:04:16.900 --> 00:04:19.700
the Secretary of State's decision. So if
00:04:19.700 --> 00:04:22.200
you take part in today's meeting, you should understand
83
00:04:22.200 --> 00:04:25.400
you will be live streamed and recorded and the
84
00:04:25.400 --> 00:04:27.400
digital recording will be published.
85
00:04:28.400 --> 00:04:31.400
If you are participating through teams and do not wish your
86
00:04:31.400 --> 00:04:34.400
 image to be recorded. You should therefore switch off your camera.
87
00:04:36.400 --> 00:04:39.800
But I also request that if you feel that
88
00:04:39.800 --> 00:04:43.700
personal information is necessary to impart when
89
00:04:42.700 --> 00:04:46.700
you're making representations it
90
00:04:45.700 --> 00:04:48.200
please provide this in
91
00:04:48.200 --> 00:04:51.300
a written document that we can redact before
00:04:51.300 --> 00:04:52.400
publication.
00:04:53.700 --> 00:04:56.100
```

```
Does anybody have any questions on that particular matter?
94
00:04:57.500 --> 00:04:57.800
Thank you.
00:04:59.300 --> 00:05:03.800
So I'll just go through a couple of housekeeping points. Please
96
00:05:02.800 --> 00:05:06.000
 set all device notifications to
97
00:05:05.000 --> 00:05:06.900
silent.
98
00:05:08.400 --> 00:05:11.600
If anybody needs the hearing Loop facility, please
99
00:05:11.600 --> 00:05:13.500
contact a member of the case team.
100
00:05:16.500 --> 00:05:19.200
Fire alarm drills. I'm not aware of any drills planned for
101
00:05:19.200 --> 00:05:23.400
today. So in the event of an alarm, please proceed
102
00:05:22.400 --> 00:05:25.500
out through the main doors there
103
00:05:25.500 --> 00:05:28.000
and through the entrance out of the
104
00:05:28.400 --> 00:05:30.300
building onto the onto the Main Street.
105
00:05:32.400 --> 00:05:35.800
Toilets are located in the corridor
106
00:05:35.800 --> 00:05:37.200
through that my right.
```

```
107
00:05:39.100 --> 00:05:39.400
108
00:05:40.400 --> 00:05:43.800
we are aim to take breaks as appropriate around mid-morning
109
00:05:43.800 --> 00:05:46.700
and lunch obviously and
110
00:05:46.700 --> 00:05:47.900
mid-afternoon.
111
00:05:50.200 --> 00:05:53.500
So a reminder that for those people who are speaking
112
00:05:53.500 --> 00:05:56.300
it's important that before you speak and each
113
00:05:56.300 --> 00:05:59.700
 time you speak. Please make sure that you state your name and
114
00:05:59.700 --> 00:06:00.900
whom you represent.
115
00:06:02.500 --> 00:06:07.100
So I will I will now shortly hand
00:06:06.100 --> 00:06:10.600
over to Mrs. Taylor. Are there
117
00:06:10.600 --> 00:06:13.400
any questions on the procedural side of things so
118
00:06:13.400 --> 00:06:13.500
far?
119
00:06:14.400 --> 00:06:18.200
Okay, thank you. So sorry.
120
00:06:17.200 --> 00:06:20.500
```

```
I'm sorry. There's actually
121
00:06:20.500 --> 00:06:23.300
I'm sorry. I miss you. Could I just I know
00:06:23.300 --> 00:06:26.400
this status is going to be leading and I just wanted to
123
00:06:26.400 --> 00:06:29.500
flag at this stage. I would like at some
124
00:06:29.500 --> 00:06:32.700
point to come back to the issue that we discussed. Yes
125
00:06:32.700 --> 00:06:35.900
today at the start of the examination coming
126
00:06:35.900 --> 00:06:38.000
through. Are you coming to I'm very grateful. All right.
127
00:06:39.600 --> 00:06:42.300
So a sale shortly hand over to Mrs. Taylor
128
00:06:42.300 --> 00:06:45.400
to deal with the main parts of the agenda.
00:06:47.300 --> 00:06:50.500
And she'll explain what those issues are
130
00:06:50.500 --> 00:06:53.100
and how she proposes to go to go through them.
131
00:06:54.500 --> 00:06:58.100
Before I do that, I want to draw
132
00:06:57.100 --> 00:07:01.400
attention as I did yesterday to a
133
00:07:00.400 --> 00:07:03.200
document submitted by the applicants.
```

```
134
00:07:03.700 --> 00:07:07.700
Which is being put
135
00:07:06.700 --> 00:07:10.200
into the examination library, and
136
00:07:09.200 --> 00:07:13.100
it's a document in titles update
137
00:07:12.100 --> 00:07:15.500
by the applicant on Heritage matters
138
00:07:15.500 --> 00:07:17.500
and substation connection.
139
00:07:20.400 --> 00:07:23.400
the document is being given the reference
140
00:07:23.400 --> 00:07:27.000
our ep-3 a iPhone 0
141
00:07:26.200 --> 00:07:27.600
3 7
142
00:07:29.400 --> 00:07:32.300
I'm not going to do it a
143
00:07:32.300 --> 00:07:37.400
great length because I said yesterday. I tend
144
00:07:37.400 --> 00:07:41.200
to look at it in a little bit more detail. Tomorrow's session
145
00:07:40.200 --> 00:07:43.700
when we're looking at the principle of
146
00:07:43.700 --> 00:07:46.500
the development. So it'd be more appropriate to
147
00:07:46.500 --> 00:07:49.500
```

```
deal with any particular issues
148
00:07:49.500 --> 00:07:52.500
then but I think it's important to flag
00:07:52.500 --> 00:07:56.000
up at each of these specific hearings.
150
00:07:57.400 --> 00:07:59.200
That document has been submitted.
151
00:08:00.300 --> 00:08:03.800
And in brief, it relates to the applicants
152
00:08:03.800 --> 00:08:06.800
intention to submit a change
153
00:08:06.800 --> 00:08:09.200
request to the application and it has
154
00:08:09.200 --> 00:08:12.800
four aspects firstly the removal
155
00:08:12.800 --> 00:08:15.500
of the option to land for the grid connection
00:08:15.500 --> 00:08:16.700
at Burwell.
157
00:08:17.600 --> 00:08:20.300
Secondly the removal of Seneca West
158
00:08:20.300 --> 00:08:22.700
site be from the application.
159
00:08:24.500 --> 00:08:27.800
Thirdly, the removal of part of the field connected
160
00:08:27.800 --> 00:08:30.500
to the crash site also should said
```

```
161
00:08:30.500 --> 00:08:33.700
remove all of the operational
162
00:08:33.700 --> 00:08:37.100
development side of things from that
163
00:08:36.100 --> 00:08:39.500
part of the field that is connected to the crush
164
00:08:39.500 --> 00:08:41.000
site at Isle.
165
00:08:42.500 --> 00:08:46.000
and fourthly an additional archaeological protection
166
00:08:45.000 --> 00:08:46.500
area
167
00:08:49.500 --> 00:08:52.200
the update documents that I've referred
168
00:08:52.200 --> 00:08:55.500
to states that these changes have been informed by the
169
00:08:55.500 --> 00:08:58.600
representations that have been received from the interested
00:08:58.600 --> 00:09:00.100
parties to date.
171
00:09:01.300 --> 00:09:05.000
Nevertheless the examining Authority will need some
172
00:09:04.200 --> 00:09:07.300
time to give careful consideration to the
173
00:09:07.300 --> 00:09:10.200
information supplied in respect of the
174
00:09:10.200 --> 00:09:13.800
```

```
intended changes their materiality and
175
00:09:13.800 --> 00:09:17.500
we've also been asked to give guidance on consultation and
00:09:16.500 --> 00:09:19.400
requests have been made for changes to
00:09:19.400 --> 00:09:20.200
the time table.
178
00:09:21.800 --> 00:09:24.900
So we are minded given
179
00:09:24.900 --> 00:09:28.200
those matters to issue a procedural
180
00:09:27.200 --> 00:09:30.400
decision that the end of this week
181
00:09:30.400 --> 00:09:33.200
which will be in the form of
182
00:09:33.200 --> 00:09:36.700
a request to all interested parties
183
00:09:36.700 --> 00:09:39.700
to comment on the document and
184
00:09:39.700 --> 00:09:43.800
the proposed changes to the timetable and
185
00:09:42.800 --> 00:09:45.700
that will inform our future
186
00:09:45.700 --> 00:09:50.300
decisions and we will it
187
00:09:48.300 --> 00:09:51.500
is very likely we will
```

```
188
00:09:51.500 --> 00:09:55.300
issue that procedural decision say at
189
00:09:55.300 --> 00:09:57.500
the end of the week after the last hearing session.
190
00:09:58.400 --> 00:10:01.300
with a request for comments to be made to us
191
00:10:01.300 --> 00:10:04.900
in writing by Friday the
192
00:10:04.900 --> 00:10:08.100
16th of December, which is the following week deadline
193
00:10:07.100 --> 00:10:08.400
for
194
00:10:10.900 --> 00:10:11.500
so
195
00:10:12.700 --> 00:10:15.900
the we will then determine following submission
196
00:10:15.900 --> 00:10:18.200
of a change application.
00:10:19.300 --> 00:10:22.600
Weather and if so how those changes might be properly and
198
00:10:22.600 --> 00:10:25.000
fairly considered and commented on by the
199
00:10:25.400 --> 00:10:28.600
interested parties within the time remaining
200
00:10:28.600 --> 00:10:29.800
within the examination.
201
00:10:31.700 --> 00:10:34.800
```

```
In the meantime, we will continue to examine the
202
00:10:34.800 --> 00:10:37.200
application as it currently stands.
00:10:39.100 --> 00:10:42.600
As I said, I don't want to go into a lot
204
00:10:42.600 --> 00:10:46.200
of detail about it. But Mr. Turney, did you want to add
205
00:10:45.200 --> 00:10:46.600
anything?
206
00:10:47.900 --> 00:10:50.500
And thanks there Rich attorney for the
207
00:10:50.500 --> 00:10:54.500
Applicant. I just wanted to having reflected
208
00:10:53.500 --> 00:10:56.200
with the team on this
209
00:10:56.200 --> 00:10:59.500
overnight. I just wanted to give some
00:10:59.500 --> 00:11:02.400
further explanation as to
211
00:11:02.400 --> 00:11:06.100
the applicant intentions in terms of non-staturatory consultation
212
00:11:05.100 --> 00:11:08.600
so that you're aware of what we're intending to
213
00:11:08.600 --> 00:11:11.800
do. Obviously these changes all
214
00:11:11.800 --> 00:11:14.300
result in a reduction of the
```

```
215
00:11:14.300 --> 00:11:17.800
developable area of scheme and you'll
216
00:11:17.800 --> 00:11:21.600
consider that through the examination process and obviously come
217
00:11:20.600 --> 00:11:24.600
back to appropriate points and you've
218
00:11:23.600 --> 00:11:26.300
kindly flagged the importance
219
00:11:26.300 --> 00:11:29.500
of the document for the examination yesterday and today
220
00:11:29.500 --> 00:11:32.400
and we'll come back to us again tomorrow in terms
221
00:11:32.400 --> 00:11:36.100
of consultation and I think Suffolk County
222
00:11:35.100 --> 00:11:38.600
council at least have agreed that it's not necessary
223
00:11:38.600 --> 00:11:40.500
for us to carry out any consultation.
00:11:41.500 --> 00:11:44.500
But what we intend to
225
00:11:44.500 --> 00:11:46.400
do in light of your comments yesterday.
226
00:11:47.300 --> 00:11:49.400
is to advertise
227
00:11:50.300 --> 00:11:53.200
the change the proposed change outside of the
228
00:11:53.200 --> 00:11:56.200
```

```
examination process. So through our website
229
00:11:56.200 --> 00:11:59.700
through a newspaper advert through site
00:11:59.700 --> 00:12:02.500
notices and through notices to the parish councils.
231
00:12:03.200 --> 00:12:04.700
And we will do that.
232
00:12:06.600 --> 00:12:09.300
By or on next Thursday the 15th
233
00:12:09.300 --> 00:12:09.900
of December.
234
00:12:10.900 --> 00:12:14.100
And invite comments to the applicant obviously in
235
00:12:13.100 --> 00:12:17.800
the examination process. You'll be inviting comments to
236
00:12:17.800 --> 00:12:20.400
the examining Authority, but we can report
237
00:12:20.400 --> 00:12:21.800
on any comments that we receive.
238
00:12:22.600 --> 00:12:25.600
So that anyone who is not
239
00:12:25.600 --> 00:12:28.600
aware of the examination deadlines and processes.
240
00:12:29.400 --> 00:12:32.700
But becomes aware of the proposed change
241
00:12:32.700 --> 00:12:35.100
in that way conceded to it.
```

```
242
00:12:35.100 --> 00:12:38.500
So we're proposing that that should be we should
243
00:12:38.500 --> 00:12:41.600
seek those comments by the 12th
00:12:41.600 --> 00:12:44.600
of January which gives four weeks for
245
00:12:44.600 --> 00:12:47.900
comments. It is over the Christmas period but in light
246
00:12:47.900 --> 00:12:50.600
of the nature of the change and
247
00:12:50.600 --> 00:12:53.100
in light of the fact
248
00:12:53.100 --> 00:12:56.700
 that in the examination process, it's already known to
participants.
249
00:12:56.700 --> 00:12:58.700
We think that's an appropriate.
250
00:12:59.700 --> 00:13:03.000
Time period to allow and it means that by deadline
251
00:13:02.400 --> 00:13:05.800
five we will know the outcome
252
00:13:05.800 --> 00:13:08.400
of any other representations that haven't been made through
253
00:13:08.400 --> 00:13:09.300
the examination process.
254
00:13:10.200 --> 00:13:13.100
And so I just want to identify that now and no you said you come
back to
```

```
255
00:13:13.100 --> 00:13:16.300
tomorrow, but at least by saying it now you'll have
256
00:13:16.300 --> 00:13:19.400
time to reflect all on that before we address
257
00:13:19.400 --> 00:13:21.000
the matter again tomorrow.
258
00:13:23.100 --> 00:13:26.100
Right. Thank you, Mr. Turney. I think
259
00:13:26.100 --> 00:13:30.400
what I'll do is I will get on and take the appearances the
260
00:13:29.400 --> 00:13:32.400
rest of the Appearances first, which I want to
261
00:13:32.400 --> 00:13:35.700
 do. Then I will come back to to
262
00:13:35.700 --> 00:13:39.200
 that issue about the intended submission
263
00:13:38.200 --> 00:13:40.600
date just briefly.
264
00:13:41.600 --> 00:13:45.000
Okay. So thanks for
265
00:13:44.200 --> 00:13:47.300
that. What I
266
00:13:47.300 --> 00:13:50.900
want to do now is to take take the
00:13:50.900 --> 00:13:53.600
names of
268
00:13:53.600 --> 00:13:55.900
```

```
people who are registered to speak.
269
00:13:57.700 --> 00:14:00.200
That just turned back to
270
00:14:00.200 --> 00:14:04.600
Mr. Attorney if you'd be so kind as to introduce members
271
00:14:03.600 --> 00:14:07.200
of your team who are intending
272
00:14:06.200 --> 00:14:07.900
to speak today.
273
00:14:10.300 --> 00:14:15.000
Thank you, sir. And again, I'm Richard Turney Council instructed
00:14:13.900 --> 00:14:16.900
by pincer Mason's LLP
275
00:14:16.900 --> 00:14:19.900
on behalf of the applicant. I'm assisted
276
00:14:19.900 --> 00:14:22.300
by melona friend Mr. Nick Grant who
277
00:14:22.300 --> 00:14:25.200
sits immediately to my right next to
278
00:14:25.200 --> 00:14:28.100
him and I'll just introduce those who are sitting on
279
00:14:28.100 --> 00:14:31.900
the on the front desk next to him professor Max
280
00:14:31.900 --> 00:14:34.600
Wade who is here to deal with ecology matters
00:14:34.600 --> 00:14:37.800
and next to him Mr. Andy
```

```
282
00:14:37.800 --> 00:14:41.500
Wakefield who is dealing with arboricultural matters.
283
00:14:40.500 --> 00:14:43.300
And when we come
00:14:43.300 --> 00:14:46.500
to other agenda items I'll change those who with
285
00:14:46.500 --> 00:14:49.700
men. I'll introduce them in June course. All right. Thank you, Mr.
Turney.
286
00:14:49.700 --> 00:14:52.800
And so I'll turn to the local authorities
287
00:14:52.800 --> 00:14:55.700
next and first of all Suffolk County
00:14:55.700 --> 00:14:58.200
Council, I believe this to
289
00:14:58.200 --> 00:15:01.300
Bedford nice to see you in person
290
00:15:01.300 --> 00:15:04.500
Mr. Bedford morning. Thank you. You have
291
00:15:04.500 --> 00:15:06.900
seen me in person on an earlier occasion, but there we are.
292
00:15:09.900 --> 00:15:13.700
By pulling eyesight likewise on
293
00:15:13.700 --> 00:15:17.100
my partner. So Michael Bedford Casey for
294
00:15:16.100 --> 00:15:20.500
Suffolk County Council and you
```

```
00:15:19.500 --> 00:15:22.400
may hear depending on
296
00:15:22.400 --> 00:15:26.500
the detail that we get into from Mr. Andrew Murray
297
00:15:25.500 --> 00:15:28.600
wood. That's a double barrel
298
00:15:28.600 --> 00:15:31.200
name who's a senior ecologist with the
299
00:15:31.200 --> 00:15:34.800
County Council on ecology matters if necessary and
300
00:15:34.800 --> 00:15:37.300
secondly as his old
301
00:15:37.300 --> 00:15:41.200
cutting, who is the senior landscape officer
00:15:40.200 --> 00:15:44.500
with County Council on landscape matters.
303
00:15:46.300 --> 00:15:50.200
Good. Thank you very much, Mr. Bedford. So I'll turn
304
00:15:49.200 --> 00:15:52.900
then to Cambridge County Council
305
00:15:52.900 --> 00:15:54.200
whose representing Council.
306
00:15:59.500 --> 00:16:03.100
I'm Mr. Mohammed of council. I'm
307
00:16:02.100 --> 00:16:05.300
here on behalf Cambridge of
308
00:16:05.300 --> 00:16:07.900
on behalf of Cambridge County Council as well as
```

```
309
00:16:09.300 --> 00:16:12.300
The District Council of East Cambria
310
00:16:13.200 --> 00:16:16.900
and I will also be relying on Miss cutting
311
00:16:16.900 --> 00:16:19.200
who missed the Bedford has
312
00:16:19.200 --> 00:16:20.000
already introduced.
313
00:16:21.200 --> 00:16:24.700
As well as Miss Deborah Ahmed who's
314
00:16:24.700 --> 00:16:27.200
the ecology officer on behalf of the County
315
00:16:27.200 --> 00:16:27.700
Council?
316
00:16:28.800 --> 00:16:31.400
Mr. Andy Thomas the senior
317
00:16:31.400 --> 00:16:34.200
archaeologist or on behalf of
318
00:16:34.200 --> 00:16:37.900
the County Council and depending on where we
319
00:16:37.900 --> 00:16:40.500
get to another matters, Mr. Kevin
320
00:16:40.500 --> 00:16:42.100
drain on behalf of the
321
00:16:44.200 --> 00:16:46.800
Council as well on our board cultural matters
```

```
00:16:48.200 --> 00:16:52.000
and those are the people who I anticipate will be speaking today.
323
00:16:51.700 --> 00:16:54.500
Yes. Thank you very much. I don't have
324
00:16:54.500 --> 00:16:57.500
a note of the artboard a culturalist. Could
325
00:16:57.500 --> 00:17:00.300
you just give me his name against the Kevin drain?
00:17:01.400 --> 00:17:03.400
Dr. A n e
327
00:17:06.800 --> 00:17:08.000
Thank you very much.
328
00:17:09.200 --> 00:17:13.300
And then and you depending
329
00:17:12.300 --> 00:17:15.600
on where we get to as well Mr. You
330
00:17:15.600 --> 00:17:19.800
might have Mr. Chris part person. Yes
331
00:17:18.800 --> 00:17:21.000
observation. Yes. Yes.
332
00:17:22.700 --> 00:17:27.200
Thank you, sir. Okay, good. Thank you. So that's
333
00:17:26.200 --> 00:17:29.500
East Cambridge District Council
334
00:17:29.500 --> 00:17:32.600
and Cambridge County Council. So turning on
335
00:17:32.600 --> 00:17:37.600
to West Suffolk Council he who
```

```
336
00:17:36.600 --> 00:17:39.700
is a good morning.
337
00:17:39.700 --> 00:17:44.100
Oh boy, Ed Grant of council
338
00:17:43.100 --> 00:17:46.900
instructed by these solicitor to West
339
00:17:46.900 --> 00:17:47.900
Suffolk Council.
340
00:17:49.200 --> 00:17:52.400
And three members of the team to introduce to you
341
00:17:52.400 --> 00:17:55.800
who we may call upon during the course of the day. First of
342
00:17:55.800 --> 00:17:57.100
all, Jackie Fisher.
343
00:17:57.900 --> 00:18:00.500
Who is senior ecology in landscape
344
00:18:00.500 --> 00:18:02.500
officer at WSC?
345
00:18:03.300 --> 00:18:05.000
She sits to my left.
346
00:18:06.200 --> 00:18:09.200
Julie Barrow who sits to my right who is
347
00:18:09.200 --> 00:18:11.700
principal planning officer at WSC.
348
00:18:12.800 --> 00:18:15.500
And sitting at the moment behind me, but who when
```

```
00:18:15.500 --> 00:18:18.000
we get to the appropriate stage will be sitting next
350
00:18:18.100 --> 00:18:21.500
to me is Christine leverson, who is
351
00:18:21.500 --> 00:18:24.700
the principal conservation officer at WSC?
352
00:18:25.700 --> 00:18:29.100
Thank you. Christine. Leatherson LED
00:18:28.100 --> 00:18:32.000
e s o n.
354
00:18:34.500 --> 00:18:35.900
Thank you very much, Mr. Grant.
355
00:18:37.200 --> 00:18:41.500
So now go next to Historic England
00:18:41.500 --> 00:18:44.400
here, Mr. Mr. Fletcher.
357
00:18:46.100 --> 00:18:46.900
You see online?
358
00:18:48.300 --> 00:18:51.300
Oh, yes, I believe you
359
00:18:51.300 --> 00:18:51.800
may be online.
360
00:18:53.900 --> 00:18:56.500
Good morning, and Dr. Will
361
00:18:56.500 --> 00:18:59.400
Fletcher we're sending historic England. I am on on the
362
00:18:59.400 --> 00:19:00.900
on the screen or online.
```

```
363
00:19:01.700 --> 00:19:04.500
Should you require any any input? Thank
364
00:19:04.500 --> 00:19:04.600
365
00:19:05.900 --> 00:19:08.200
Thank you, Mr. Fletcher. And then
366
00:19:08.200 --> 00:19:12.300
I'll turn to the parish councils Mrs. Maxwell.
367
00:19:14.700 --> 00:19:15.500
Good morning with
368
00:19:18.900 --> 00:19:19.700
yes, and
369
00:19:20.600 --> 00:19:24.500
then the next person I have is that all
370
00:19:23.500 --> 00:19:27.400
the McKenzie Mornington Parish?
371
00:19:26.400 --> 00:19:29.600
Yeah, I'm full of Mackenzie Wellington
372
00:19:29.600 --> 00:19:35.000
Parish Council morning to you morning Richard bradcliffe
373
00:19:34.700 --> 00:19:36.600
heiselman. So I'm North.
374
00:19:37.200 --> 00:19:40.300
I'm Richard van chair. She only advised some
375
00:19:40.300 --> 00:19:43.300
Parish Council. I don't know how I've been added to some North.
```

```
00:19:44.100 --> 00:19:45.800
All right. Thank you.
377
00:19:46.900 --> 00:19:50.600
Then Mr. Kazelko.
378
00:19:51.400 --> 00:19:55.200
She's somewhere in the room. Good morning, Good morning,
379
00:19:55.200 --> 00:19:58.400
Sir, Daniel. Kozelco Jr. Council to say no to Sonica Action
380
00:19:58.400 --> 00:20:02.200
Group and the new market Horseman's group along with
381
00:20:02.200 --> 00:20:05.200
me today. I have Dominic Woodfield bioscan who
382
00:20:05.200 --> 00:20:08.500
is SATs my left who will speak to the college matters and then
00:20:08.500 --> 00:20:11.600
sat immediately behind me. I have Richard Hockett
384
00:20:11.600 --> 00:20:14.200
of Richard Hockett Heritage consultant to speak
385
00:20:14.200 --> 00:20:17.500
to Heritage and John Jeffco of Michelle
386
00:20:17.500 --> 00:20:20.400
Bolger expert landscape consultancy will
387
00:20:20.400 --> 00:20:23.500
speak to the landscape. Yes. Thank you. And now
388
00:20:23.500 --> 00:20:28.100
and this Judkins morning. Are
389
00:20:27.100 --> 00:20:29.000
you intending to speak?
```

```
390
00:20:31.500 --> 00:20:35.100
Okay, thank you. And then we go
391
00:20:34.100 --> 00:20:37.400
to friends of
392
00:20:37.400 --> 00:20:40.600
Isle of nature reserve Jeanette Martin.
393
00:20:42.200 --> 00:20:42.400
she
394
00:20:43.600 --> 00:20:47.600
here. Ah, thank
395
00:20:47.600 --> 00:20:51.200
you. Oh, right Avi Stacey. She's
396
00:20:50.200 --> 00:20:53.400
the chair of the friends of us and nature
397
00:20:53.400 --> 00:20:53.900
is a
398
00:20:57.100 --> 00:20:59.900
I've got that wrong. That's you, isn't it? So, sorry.
399
00:21:05.400 --> 00:21:06.300
Thank you Mrs. Stacy.
400
00:21:08.900 --> 00:21:11.200
Dr. Fordham is Dr. Fordham here.
401
00:21:11.200 --> 00:21:12.300
Good morning, Fordham.
402
00:21:13.900 --> 00:21:15.400
Alistair burn
```

```
00:21:17.000 --> 00:21:17.300
online
404
00:21:20.100 --> 00:21:23.500
Yeah, good morning. Ask the burn. I'm private
405
00:21:23.500 --> 00:21:26.200
individual interested in
406
00:21:26.200 --> 00:21:29.500
maserating to protection of
407
00:21:29.500 --> 00:21:30.000
birds. Thank you.
408
00:21:31.200 --> 00:21:32.000
Thank you very much.
409
00:21:33.000 --> 00:21:34.500
Sandy Geddes
410
00:21:35.600 --> 00:21:36.800
And we got us.
411
00:21:38.500 --> 00:21:39.200
here
412
00:21:41.700 --> 00:21:43.500
Right. Okay, that's fine. Thank you.
413
00:21:44.800 --> 00:21:47.500
And Mr. May Mayfield from
414
00:21:47.500 --> 00:21:48.900
the Suffolk Wildlife Trust.
415
00:21:51.800 --> 00:21:53.300
Thank you. Good morning.
416
00:21:54.200 --> 00:21:58.000
I'm here. So Rupert
```

```
417
00:21:57.100 --> 00:22:00.400
may still planning and advocacy management manager itself a
418
00:22:00.400 --> 00:22:03.200
wildlife trust. Our interest is wildlife and ecology
419
00:22:03.200 --> 00:22:03.500
matters.
420
00:22:05.100 --> 00:22:09.300
Thank you, and the Isle Home Society Mark Fletcher.
421
00:22:10.900 --> 00:22:11.200
Yes.
422
00:22:16.300 --> 00:22:16.700
Thank you very much.
423
00:22:18.300 --> 00:22:21.200
So you are all welcome. What I will
424
00:22:21.200 --> 00:22:25.100
do now is hand over to Mrs. Taylor
425
00:22:24.100 --> 00:22:27.400
who will go through the rest of the gender.
426
00:22:27.400 --> 00:22:30.400
And all right do that. I said
427
00:22:30.400 --> 00:22:34.900
I'd come back briefly on this question of of consultation
428
00:22:33.900 --> 00:22:37.000
didn't I just wanted
429
00:22:36.500 --> 00:22:40.300
to clarify one thing Mr. Turney? He's what
430
```

```
00:22:39.300 --> 00:22:42.800
you just said about your intention
431
00:22:42.800 --> 00:22:43.200
to.
432
00:22:44.300 --> 00:22:48.200
Consult in the way in which you intend to consult. How does
433
00:22:48.200 --> 00:22:52.300
that affect the timing of your proposed submission?
434
00:22:53.900 --> 00:22:56.600
Is it in other words? It's going to be on the earlier date
435
00:22:56.600 --> 00:22:58.300
rather than the later date.
436
00:23:01.200 --> 00:23:04.200
It would be the earlier today. Yes, that's all I want to know
437
00:23:04.200 --> 00:23:08.800
at the moment. Thank you very much. That's very helpful. Okay. So
438
00:23:08.800 --> 00:23:11.700
on that point Sorry, Michael
439
00:23:11.700 --> 00:23:16.100
Bedford said that yeah, I put my name played
440
00:23:14.100 --> 00:23:17.000
up when you dealt with
441
00:23:17.100 --> 00:23:19.700
it earlier just because I thought it might be helpful.
442
00:23:20.800 --> 00:23:23.200
Given that {\operatorname{Mr}}. Turney in his
443
00:23:23.200 --> 00:23:27.600
remarks you did refer to the Suffolk County Council position and
```

```
444
00:23:26.600 --> 00:23:29.700
 so I just thought it might be helpful to you
445
00:23:29.700 --> 00:23:32.900
to know directly from us what that
446
00:23:32.900 --> 00:23:36.400
position was did and so just
447
00:23:35.400 --> 00:23:38.800
by way of context you will
448
00:23:38.800 --> 00:23:41.900
have seen in the applicant's Heritage
449
00:23:41.900 --> 00:23:45.100
Update note, which is rep3 a stroke
450
00:23:44.100 --> 00:23:47.800
037 at paragraph
451
00:23:47.800 --> 00:23:49.500
6.1.7.
452
00:23:51.400 --> 00:23:54.200
There was a reference to the position that the
453
00:23:54.200 --> 00:23:57.500
planning authorities were taking in relation to
454
00:23:57.500 --> 00:24:01.800
the proposed change request
455
00:24:01.800 --> 00:24:04.600
and the need or absence of Need for consultation.
456
00:24:05.700 --> 00:24:08.300
So far as Suffolk County Council is
```

```
00:24:08.300 --> 00:24:11.600
concerned the way that it was put to you by Mr.
458
00:24:11.600 --> 00:24:13.400
Turney is correct.
459
00:24:14.700 --> 00:24:17.400
That is to say we do not see there is a need
460
00:24:17.400 --> 00:24:20.800
for a non statutory consultation on
461
00:24:20.800 --> 00:24:23.600
what are described as the
462
00:24:23.600 --> 00:24:26.600
proposed changes and we consider
463
00:24:26.600 --> 00:24:29.300
that if that change request was
00:24:29.300 --> 00:24:32.400
accepted by the examining Authority.
465
00:24:33.600 --> 00:24:36.100
the opportunity to make representations
466
00:24:37.200 --> 00:24:40.300
in response to that changing requirements would be sufficient.
467
00:24:41.200 --> 00:24:43.000
In our view to enable.
468
00:24:44.200 --> 00:24:45.900
both persons affected
469
00:24:46.900 --> 00:24:48.500
by the change one way or the other.
470
00:24:49.600 --> 00:24:52.100
To make those representations, but if you to be
```

```
00:24:52.100 --> 00:24:55.900
 adequately informed there's what those persons views
472
00:24:55.900 --> 00:24:58.200
were on that. So I just probably helpful
473
00:24:58.200 --> 00:25:01.300
 since you heard a reference to our position if you had directly
from us what
474
00:25:01.300 --> 00:25:06.100
our position was, that's very helpful. Thank you, and now
475
00:25:05.100 --> 00:25:07.200
on that and
476
00:25:08.200 --> 00:25:12.000
You obviously from a
477
00:25:11.900 --> 00:25:14.600
County Council not a
478
00:25:14.600 --> 00:25:16.300
local planning Authority as such.
00:25:16.900 --> 00:25:19.900
Mr. Muhammad I'm able
480
00:25:19.900 --> 00:25:22.300
to assist and say that we are of the
481
00:25:22.300 --> 00:25:25.300
same position in so far as it helps you that's very helpful
482
00:25:25.300 --> 00:25:28.200
or not applies to both local authorities that
483
00:25:28.200 --> 00:25:29.200
you represent, correct.
```

```
484
00:25:33.700 --> 00:25:36.800
Okay. Well, that's fine. I
485
00:25:36.800 --> 00:25:39.400
think we'll leave it
486
00:25:39.400 --> 00:25:42.700
there unless and West Suffolk Council wants
487
00:25:42.700 --> 00:25:45.100
to add anything. If not, I
488
00:25:45.100 --> 00:25:48.000
wanted to say that some I don't
489
00:25:48.300 --> 00:25:51.700
I hear what you saying. I'm grateful for it. I don't want to
Prejudice anything
490
00:25:51.700 --> 00:25:54.700
that may be represented to
00:25:54.700 --> 00:25:59.200
us as a result of the any
492
00:25:57.200 --> 00:26:00.000
request that we
493
00:26:00.100 --> 00:26:03.400
make for comments, which we will likely do to the
494
00:26:03.400 --> 00:26:06.300
group of interested parties at large,
495
00:26:06.300 --> 00:26:12.000
but I'm grateful for those indications from
496
00:26:10.200 --> 00:26:13.500
the local authorities.
```

```
00:26:16.100 --> 00:26:19.800
Okay, so I think that now will hunt
498
00:26:19.800 --> 00:26:22.300
over to Mrs. Taylor to go through the
499
00:26:22.300 --> 00:26:23.100
rest of the agenda.
500
00:26:25.200 --> 00:26:28.800
Thank you very much, Mr. King. Well as
501
00:26:28.800 --> 00:26:31.100
already noted the applicant intends to
502
00:26:31.100 --> 00:26:34.200
make a change request which will affect a number of items on
503
00:26:34.200 --> 00:26:38.000
today's agenda and some specific land Parcels.
00:26:37.600 --> 00:26:40.400
I haven't actually changed the agenda
505
00:26:40.400 --> 00:26:43.300
 from the one that has been published on our website. But
506
00:26:43.300 --> 00:26:46.300
what I propose to do is adapt that as we need to
507
00:26:46.300 --> 00:26:49.600
as we go along so that when talking
508
00:26:49.600 --> 00:26:52.400
about relevant issues and relevant Parcels of
509
00:26:52.400 --> 00:26:55.600
land we talk more about the implications of the
510
00:26:55.600 --> 00:26:58.600
proposed change rather than as it
```

```
511
00:26:58.600 --> 00:27:00.700
was say a month ago.
512
00:27:01.900 --> 00:27:03.100
513
00:27:04.600 --> 00:27:08.000
so moving on to some of the general introductory points.
514
00:27:07.800 --> 00:27:11.000
I've arranged today's agenda in
515
00:27:10.200 --> 00:27:13.700
 a specific order so that we're starting with ecology and
516
00:27:13.700 --> 00:27:16.600
biodiversity moving on to Historic environment
517
00:27:16.600 --> 00:27:19.100
and then deliberately moving on
518
00:27:19.100 --> 00:27:22.700
then to landscape and visual impact on the basis City ecology
519
00:27:22.700 --> 00:27:25.600
and historic environment
520
00:27:25.600 --> 00:27:28.500
of fundamental to the landscape. So
521
00:27:28.500 --> 00:27:29.800
that seems a logical order.
00:27:30.400 --> 00:27:30.800
and
523
00:27:32.600 --> 00:27:35.500
there are strong relationships obviously between these different
```

```
00:27:35.500 --> 00:27:39.100
elements particularly in terms of historic environments
525
00:27:38.100 --> 00:27:41.700
and culture and ecological elements contributing
526
00:27:41.700 --> 00:27:45.200
to landscape character and considerable overlaps
527
00:27:44.200 --> 00:27:47.900
between these elements in relation to specific sites.
528
00:27:47.900 --> 00:27:50.300
For example, snail well then
529
00:27:50.300 --> 00:27:51.700
and the lion kills
530
00:27:52.500 --> 00:27:55.300
So we'll pick up on these linkages. But please try
531
00:27:55.300 --> 00:27:58.800
to avoid too much repetition and I
532
00:27:58.800 --> 00:28:01.300
have a sort of catch-all section at the end of the
533
00:28:01.300 --> 00:28:04.200
agenda where I would like us to
534
00:28:04.200 --> 00:28:07.200
consider what I call the in combination effects.
535
00:28:07.600 --> 00:28:11.300
So a mystical Co yesterday mentioned
536
00:28:10.300 --> 00:28:13.400
the lion kills specifically
537
00:28:13.400 --> 00:28:16.700
in terms of wishing to discuss that today, so
```

```
538
00:28:16.700 --> 00:28:17.400
I suggest that
539
00:28:18.300 --> 00:28:21.400
Rather than bring up the lion kills or indeed other
540
00:28:21.400 --> 00:28:24.500
 sites under each topic. We sort of
541
00:28:24.500 --> 00:28:27.500
reserved the main discussion to the the capsule section
542
00:28:27.500 --> 00:28:28.000
at the end.
543
00:28:30.600 --> 00:28:33.600
I'll generally be asking questions of the applicant or
544
00:28:33.600 --> 00:28:36.400
of the local authorities first then asking the
545
00:28:36.400 --> 00:28:39.600
other party to respond, but we'll also be seeking comments from
546
00:28:39.600 --> 00:28:39.900
other.
547
00:28:40.800 --> 00:28:43.200
Interested parties present, although this may be
548
00:28:43.200 --> 00:28:46.200
the end of each main section rather than
549
00:28:46.200 --> 00:28:49.200
each individual item as I'm sure you all understand. There's an
awful
550
00:28:49.200 --> 00:28:50.300
lot to get through today.
```

```
551
00:28:51.500 --> 00:28:54.500
There's also potentially an awful lot of detail and
552
00:28:54.500 --> 00:28:57.600
I would just like to remind participants that
553
00:28:57.600 --> 00:28:59.600
of course, this is primarily a written process.
554
00:29:00.400 --> 00:29:03.600
So on my agenda, I'm mainly sticking to
555
00:29:03.600 --> 00:29:06.400
relatively high level issues. Otherwise,
556
00:29:06.400 --> 00:29:09.900
I think we'd be here for a month rather than rather than
557
00:29:09.900 --> 00:29:10.300
a day.
558
00:29:11.900 --> 00:29:15.100
So I'd like to start please with ecology
559
00:29:14.100 --> 00:29:17.400
and biodiversity and in
560
00:29:17.400 --> 00:29:20.500
particular starting at the beginning as it were with some
discussion
561
00:29:20.500 --> 00:29:23.500
about the adequacy of ecological surveys.
562
00:29:24.300 --> 00:29:28.300
And starting with bird surveys, which
563
00:29:27.300 --> 00:29:30.600
is birds birds in general rather than Stone
```

```
00:29:30.600 --> 00:29:34.100
curlews, which we will move on to a specifically
565
00:29:33.100 --> 00:29:36.800
and in terms of the local authorities.
566
00:29:36.800 --> 00:29:40.000
I'm conscious that you all have interests. So
567
00:29:39.500 --> 00:29:42.500
what I would like to do is for
568
00:29:42.500 --> 00:29:46.200
a relevant a local authorities
569
00:29:45.200 --> 00:29:48.500
to decide if you can or agree
570
00:29:48.500 --> 00:29:49.900
who's leading on a topic
00:29:50.700 --> 00:29:53.600
and so I will look to the local authorities
572
00:29:53.600 --> 00:29:56.300
in general, but then you please indicate
573
00:29:56.300 --> 00:29:59.600
who would like to lead on each topic or question.
574
00:30:02.100 --> 00:30:05.500
So bird surveys and I would like to start with
575
00:30:05.500 --> 00:30:09.700
the local authorities, please you consider
576
00:30:09.700 --> 00:30:12.500
that the surveys undertaken by the applicant of
577
00:30:12.500 --> 00:30:16.300
breeding a non-breeding birds are adequate especially
```

```
578
00:30:15.300 --> 00:30:19.100
 in relation to schedule one species in
579
00:30:18.100 --> 00:30:22.000
order to ensure that the development proposal Safeguard
580
00:30:21.300 --> 00:30:24.500
and mitigate adverse impacts
581
00:30:24.500 --> 00:30:27.500
on population's Resident on or using
582
00:30:27.500 --> 00:30:30.200
land within your limits have a
583
00:30:30.200 --> 00:30:33.700
specific reason for asking this question, which I undertook and
584
00:30:33.700 --> 00:30:35.800
a company of site inspection in April this year.
585
00:30:36.600 --> 00:30:39.200
And observed a marsh Harrier flying low
586
00:30:39.200 --> 00:30:42.800
over the fields in between land Parcels Eco
587
00:30:42.800 --> 00:30:46.800
one and two and the b1104 Station
588
00:30:46.800 --> 00:30:49.500
Road, which I understand is is
589
00:30:49.500 --> 00:30:52.700
outside the order limits just but I think
590
00:30:52.700 --> 00:30:55.400
that raises the question about the adequacy of
```

```
00:30:55.400 --> 00:30:58.600
 bird surveys in general so would
592
00:30:58.600 --> 00:31:01.800
was a representative from the local authorities be
593
00:31:01.800 --> 00:31:03.000
able to help me with that.
594
00:31:06.100 --> 00:31:10.400
Let's say
595
00:31:10.400 --> 00:31:13.500
far as West Suffolk councilor concerned. Sorry.
596
00:31:13.500 --> 00:31:16.200
Could you just give your name? Sorry, it's Ed Grant.
597
00:31:17.100 --> 00:31:18.200
West Suffolk Council
00:31:21.300 --> 00:31:24.200
that's so far as our position is concerned and restricted of
599
00:31:24.200 --> 00:31:26.600
birds and the surveys we
600
00:31:28.100 --> 00:31:31.800
have something to say about Stone curlys, but I appreciate you part
601
00:31:31.800 --> 00:31:34.600
that from the time being coming. We'll be coming back from just
602
00:31:34.600 --> 00:31:37.600
Curly's next specifically. So in
603
00:31:37.600 --> 00:31:40.400
terms of The Wider issue on birds, we haven't
604
00:31:40.400 --> 00:31:43.100
raised any issue ourselves and respect of the
```

```
605
00:31:43.100 --> 00:31:46.100
 inadequacy of surveys in relation to
606
00:31:46.100 --> 00:31:48.200
birds and The Wider context.
607
00:31:50.200 --> 00:31:54.300
So say for any nudging from my my
608
00:31:53.300 --> 00:31:56.400
left and I
609
00:31:56.400 --> 00:31:59.600
don't think we have any more we say to add
610
00:31:59.600 --> 00:32:02.600
in respect of The Wider bird surveys Beyond Stone
611
00:32:02.600 --> 00:32:05.400
Curley's thank you for that. I'll come on
612
00:32:05.400 --> 00:32:08.200
to the applicant in a minute. But just before I do are there any
613
00:32:08.200 --> 00:32:11.100
other interested parties who would like
614
00:32:11.100 --> 00:32:13.000
to call it Mr. Cuz Elko.
615
00:32:14.800 --> 00:32:17.200
Thank you. I'm going to ask Mr. Woodfield's comment
616
00:32:17.200 --> 00:32:17.500
on this.
617
00:32:19.600 --> 00:32:22.900
Thank you coming at Whitfield bioscan. Representing.
618
```

```
00:32:22.900 --> 00:32:24.800
Say no to Seneca. Can you hear me? Okay.
619
00:32:26.300 --> 00:32:29.400
We've not commented in much detail on
620
00:32:29.400 --> 00:32:32.500
the bird surveys because we've not been able to have independent
access
621
00:32:32.500 --> 00:32:35.400
to some of the land to have the kind of experiences that you refer
to
622
00:32:35.400 --> 00:32:38.900
back in April, but just from
623
00:32:38.900 --> 00:32:42.200
a simple review of the bird survey data. I
624
00:32:41.200 --> 00:32:44.900
must admit there were several eyebrow raising elements
625
00:32:44.900 --> 00:32:47.500
to it in terms of the port
626
00:32:47.500 --> 00:32:50.400
city of records for certain declining farm and
627
00:32:50.400 --> 00:32:53.200
species. So given that we're talking about an area
628
00:32:53.200 --> 00:32:56.200
in excessive a thousand hectares. I was
629
00:32:56.200 --> 00:32:59.700
very surprised to see such alone numbers of whole Suite
630
00:32:59.700 --> 00:33:02.100
of declining Parliament species and my experiences in
```

```
00:33:02.700 --> 00:33:05.500
July of this year on the brief site visits
632
00:33:05.500 --> 00:33:08.200
that I was able to undertake suggested that for example
633
00:33:08.200 --> 00:33:12.300
in respects of stock dove in respect
634
00:33:11.300 --> 00:33:14.300
of a whole
635
00:33:14.300 --> 00:33:18.300
Suite of other species. I did make a few notes. Actually there were
636
00:33:18.300 --> 00:33:21.500
there were concerns about the numbers that were being picked
637
00:33:21.500 --> 00:33:24.500
up and how Sparrow a
638
00:33:24.500 --> 00:33:27.500
single house for that huge area of
639
00:33:27.500 --> 00:33:31.600
land that seems to be to be slightly questionable.
640
00:33:30.600 --> 00:33:33.200
So and we do
641
00:33:33.200 --> 00:33:36.400
have concerns or I certainly do have concerns about the adequacy of
642
00:33:36.400 --> 00:33:39.200
the data and I noticed that that's reflected all the way up to
643
00:33:39.200 --> 00:33:42.500
when we deal with stone cold that other parties
644
00:33:42.500 --> 00:33:45.300
have expressed concerns about the adequacy of
```

```
00:33:45.300 --> 00:33:48.200
the Stone County information. So, I think it's kind of reflected
across the
646
00:33:48.200 --> 00:33:48.400
piece.
647
00:33:50.100 --> 00:33:54.100
Thank you. Just before I turn to the applicant doctor Byrne.
Janister
648
00:33:53.100 --> 00:33:56.500
Byrne online. I think you indicated that you wanted
649
00:33:56.500 --> 00:33:59.100
to come into about birds. Did you have
650
00:33:59.100 --> 00:34:03.300
a comment in relation to surveys generally had been
00:34:03.300 --> 00:34:06.800
in terms of thank you very much silence to burn a private
individual. I
652
00:34:06.800 --> 00:34:09.800
don't have much to say on the surveys individually. My
653
00:34:09.800 --> 00:34:12.900
local knowledge is confined to fairly
654
00:34:12.900 --> 00:34:15.800
 small area surrounding Island. I will
655
00:34:15.800 --> 00:34:18.400
say that numbers do very quite a
00:34:18.400 --> 00:34:21.300
bit as you might imagine what my main point though
657
00:34:21.300 --> 00:34:23.100
```

```
is going to be in relation to the
658
00:34:24.600 --> 00:34:27.500
Oh species that have been recorded there
00:34:27.500 --> 00:34:31.900
indeed in the sunnica but records
00:34:30.900 --> 00:34:33.800
where I
661
00:34:33.800 --> 00:34:36.500
don't think adequate attention has been paid to the need to
662
00:34:36.500 --> 00:34:39.500
safeguard those species, which I think comes later
663
00:34:39.500 --> 00:34:40.100
in your agenda.
664
00:34:42.200 --> 00:34:42.900
Yes, yes. Thank you.
665
00:34:43.500 --> 00:34:44.500
So moving to the other.
666
00:34:48.800 --> 00:34:52.400
Ant trust thank you just to
667
00:34:52.400 --> 00:34:55.500
add that. We we have said that we consider additional
668
00:34:55.500 --> 00:34:58.500
surveys of reading and wintering birds are
669
00:34:58.500 --> 00:35:01.400
needed and in discussion with
670
00:35:01.400 --> 00:35:04.300
the applicant psychological Consultants Akon we
```

```
00:35:04.300 --> 00:35:07.800
have been assured that further surveys will be undertaken
672
00:35:07.800 --> 00:35:10.400
to inform potential impacts
673
00:35:10.400 --> 00:35:13.500
on those features. I think
674
00:35:13.500 --> 00:35:16.300
particular concern would be grand nesting Birds
675
00:35:16.300 --> 00:35:19.600
other than Stone curly. So for instance Skylark and the
676
00:35:19.600 --> 00:35:21.800
general kind of fun assemblage.
677
00:35:22.800 --> 00:35:24.400
Thank you, Mr. Turney.
678
00:35:26.300 --> 00:35:29.500
Richardson I think I'll probably just hand straight to Professor
679
00:35:29.500 --> 00:35:30.200
Wade on.
680
00:35:30.800 --> 00:35:32.100
the adequacy of the surveys
681
00:35:35.900 --> 00:35:39.200
hi mum, Maxway
682
00:35:38.200 --> 00:35:40.400
for the applicant.
683
00:35:41.200 --> 00:35:41.500
and
684
00:35:42.500 --> 00:35:45.700
```

```
I think to to start off with we just need to remind ourselves
685
00:35:45.700 --> 00:35:49.400
of the environment that we have been taking the and
00:35:48.400 --> 00:35:51.100
taking surveys in. This is a
687
00:35:51.100 --> 00:35:55.400
sort of intensive arable and agriculture environment and
688
00:35:57.500 --> 00:35:57.800
the
689
00:35:58.700 --> 00:36:01.800
diversity and numbers of birds not surprisingly is
690
00:36:01.800 --> 00:36:04.100
relatively low and
691
00:36:05.100 --> 00:36:08.400
Nevertheless we ensured in the surveys that
692
00:36:08.400 --> 00:36:11.900
we covered the sort of spatially spatial extent.
693
00:36:11.900 --> 00:36:14.300
I'm happy to sort of expand if there
694
00:36:14.300 --> 00:36:18.000
any concerns over any of these aspects temporal coverage
695
00:36:17.200 --> 00:36:21.400
as you point out surveys reading
696
00:36:21.400 --> 00:36:23.500
birds and also over the winter.
697
00:36:24.800 --> 00:36:28.300
We have what we have also ensured that
```

```
698
00:36:28.300 --> 00:36:31.800
we've used appropriate methods of survey
699
00:36:31.800 --> 00:36:35.300
and I think possibly most importantly
00:36:34.300 --> 00:36:37.100
the team that undertook this
701
00:36:37.100 --> 00:36:40.700
work and our experience and competence.
702
00:36:40.700 --> 00:36:43.600
They also in the took the stone curly
703
00:36:43.600 --> 00:36:46.600
work. So we're talking about experienced ecologists
704
00:36:46.600 --> 00:36:47.300
and
705
00:36:48.400 --> 00:36:49.600
and we have
706
00:36:51.200 --> 00:36:54.100
considerable competence in the Baseline data that we
707
00:36:54.100 --> 00:36:55.600
that we have collected.
708
00:36:57.900 --> 00:37:00.900
And I think it's important to to pick
709
00:37:00.900 --> 00:37:04.000
up on the comment in relation to further
710
00:37:03.500 --> 00:37:07.000
surveys with the passage of time. We
711
00:37:06.200 --> 00:37:09.600
```

```
have undertaken and habitat surveys
712
00:37:09.600 --> 00:37:12.900
to check in terms of any significant
00:37:12.900 --> 00:37:16.600
changes that have occurred and none to
714
00:37:16.600 --> 00:37:19.500
date the these might have triggered
715
00:37:19.500 --> 00:37:23.200
further surveys nevertheless. We
716
00:37:22.200 --> 00:37:27.100
will Undertake and surveys pre-construction
717
00:37:25.100 --> 00:37:28.300
in order
718
00:37:28.300 --> 00:37:31.900
to check the Baseline ahead of
719
00:37:31.900 --> 00:37:34.500
construction. And as as was
00:37:34.500 --> 00:37:37.800
mentioned Grand nesting birds in particularly, we need to pay
attention
721
00:37:37.800 --> 00:37:38.000
to
722
00:37:39.600 --> 00:37:43.400
in relation to the the discrepancies in
723
00:37:42.400 --> 00:37:45.600
terms of Aspera or
724
00:37:45.600 --> 00:37:48.900
Marsh Harrier and within a landscape such
```

```
725
00:37:48.900 --> 00:37:52.700
as this it's not not surprising
726
00:37:51.700 --> 00:37:53.500
727
00:37:55.700 --> 00:37:58.200
the methods that we use in terms of
728
00:37:58.200 --> 00:38:01.600
assessing bird populations and
729
00:38:01.600 --> 00:38:04.100
movements are obviously limited to
730
00:38:04.100 --> 00:38:06.800
the times that we're there March Harrier.
731
00:38:08.400 --> 00:38:11.900
Which you saw probably a bird moving moving
732
00:38:11.900 --> 00:38:14.800
through the area and how Sparrow
733
00:38:14.800 --> 00:38:17.900
populations it. They will
734
00:38:17.900 --> 00:38:21.100
vary during the year and time and
735
00:38:20.100 --> 00:38:24.000
time and day so we do
736
00:38:23.200 --> 00:38:26.800
need to to do a check pre commencement
737
00:38:26.800 --> 00:38:27.600
and
```

```
00:38:28.600 --> 00:38:31.100
But overall and the surveys were on the
739
00:38:31.100 --> 00:38:34.600
taken as one would have have expected for a
740
00:38:34.600 --> 00:38:37.300
scheme and the site such as this within this
741
00:38:37.300 --> 00:38:38.600
particular landscape.
742
00:38:39.500 --> 00:38:42.500
Thank you. Thank you. So just carry when
743
00:38:42.500 --> 00:38:45.200
you say you're intending to undertake more
744
00:38:45.200 --> 00:38:48.000
surveys free construction. Would that be
00:38:48.700 --> 00:38:51.700
post consent by you planning any more survey
00:38:51.700 --> 00:38:52.700
work, but
747
00:38:54.200 --> 00:38:57.500
at the moment so max Wade for the applicant at
748
00:38:57.500 --> 00:39:00.200
the moment and we're not we're not planning to
749
00:39:00.200 --> 00:39:03.400
undertake any surveys and should there
750
00:39:03.400 --> 00:39:06.100
be any significant changes in terms of
751
00:39:06.100 --> 00:39:09.100
 so keeping our finger on the sort of pulse of
```

```
752
00:39:09.100 --> 00:39:12.900
habitat change within the area that may necess
753
00:39:12.900 --> 00:39:15.900
State and the surveys but at the moment and no
754
00:39:16.800 --> 00:39:19.900
Okay, thank you. So rich, it's
755
00:39:19.900 --> 00:39:23.200
only for the applicant. I just wanted to add that on the specific
756
00:39:22.200 --> 00:39:26.300
marsh Harrier. The the
757
00:39:26.300 --> 00:39:29.500
species is is noted both in terms
758
00:39:29.500 --> 00:39:31.800
of the death study and in terms of presence.
759
00:39:32.500 --> 00:39:35.800
During the breeding bird survey, but not displaying
00:39:35.800 --> 00:39:39.200
breeding burden Behavior. So the
761
00:39:38.200 --> 00:39:45.000
reference is paragraph 5.2.6.
762
00:39:45.800 --> 00:39:48.500
of annex 8
763
00:39:49.100 --> 00:39:52.500
I which is the to the environmental
764
00:39:52.500 --> 00:39:55.400
statement, which is the report on surveys and breeding birds.
```

```
00:39:57.500 --> 00:39:58.400
Thank you for that.
766
00:40:01.300 --> 00:40:05.300
I'd like to move on now specifically to Stone curls and
00:40:07.300 --> 00:40:10.200
West suffolks you indicated you would like to
768
00:40:10.200 --> 00:40:13.200
comment on Stone Curlew survey work
769
00:40:13.200 --> 00:40:15.900
and the Suffolk Wildlife Trust
770
00:40:18.100 --> 00:40:19.800
So starting with West Suffolk.
771
00:40:21.200 --> 00:40:24.700
Madame is Ed grant for West Suffolk, and there
772
00:40:24.700 --> 00:40:26.700
were two matters identified.
773
00:40:27.700 --> 00:40:30.800
In the liar in respect of the adequacy
774
00:40:30.800 --> 00:40:33.300
of the service of stone curly and I thought I'd just update you
775
00:40:33.300 --> 00:40:36.500
those those two matters. Thank you. The first
776
00:40:36.500 --> 00:40:38.500
of the first matter was
00:40:39.400 --> 00:40:43.000
and was the issue
778
00:40:42.200 --> 00:40:45.700
```

```
that the stone curly surveys
779
00:40:45.700 --> 00:40:48.700
hadn't been consistently undertaken for
00:40:48.700 --> 00:40:50.800
the for the entirety of the breeding season.
781
00:40:51.800 --> 00:40:54.600
That that was a point made for your note to 8.6 to
782
00:40:54.600 --> 00:40:55.300
the lir.
783
00:40:56.500 --> 00:41:00.000
And so far as that is concerned the applicant responded at
784
00:40:59.100 --> 00:41:02.300
Pages 20 to 21 of the response.
785
00:41:03.600 --> 00:41:06.700
And so far as that response is
786
00:41:06.700 --> 00:41:07.200
concerned.
787
00:41:08.400 --> 00:41:11.400
The position of Natural England having considered that
788
00:41:11.400 --> 00:41:14.700
response is that they are satisfied with
789
00:41:14.700 --> 00:41:17.900
the position in respect of that issue.
790
00:41:18.400 --> 00:41:21.500
And that being the case West Suffolk
791
00:41:21.500 --> 00:41:24.200
 effectively fall into line with the position expressed by
```

```
00:41:24.200 --> 00:41:27.500
Natural England. So noisy remaining so far
00:41:27.500 --> 00:41:28.600
as that matter is concerned.
794
00:41:29.800 --> 00:41:32.800
The second matter also identify
795
00:41:32.800 --> 00:41:34.800
the 8.60 of the lir.
796
00:41:35.700 --> 00:41:38.700
It is that the surveys didn't
797
00:41:38.700 --> 00:41:41.400
cover all of the areas within
798
00:41:41.400 --> 00:41:44.500
 500 meters catchment of
799
00:41:44.500 --> 00:41:45.400
the order limits.
800
00:41:46.300 --> 00:41:50.600
And so far as that is concerned the the response
00:41:49.600 --> 00:41:52.200
of the applicant set out
802
00:41:52.200 --> 00:41:54.400
a Pages 20 to 21 of the response.
803
00:41:55.500 --> 00:41:58.500
That was that they elected to
804
00:41:58.500 --> 00:42:02.100
concentrate on areas which had previously been identified
805
00:42:01.100 --> 00:42:04.600
```

```
and you'll be aware that the surveys covered
806
00:42:04.600 --> 00:42:07.900
three years in 2019-20-21. So
00:42:07.900 --> 00:42:10.800
the 2021 surveys
808
00:42:10.800 --> 00:42:15.200
effectively focused on those on those
809
00:42:14.200 --> 00:42:18.300
areas identified in 2019 and
810
00:42:17.300 --> 00:42:21.200
the position of of WSC
811
00:42:20.200 --> 00:42:22.300
in respect of that matter.
812
00:42:23.400 --> 00:42:26.400
Is that we retain in the concern?
813
00:42:27.400 --> 00:42:29.700
That the applicant has not demonstrated.
814
00:42:30.800 --> 00:42:33.300
That there are no that there's no suitable
815
00:42:33.300 --> 00:42:33.900
habitat.
816
00:42:34.600 --> 00:42:37.200
Within that 500 meter catchment of the
817
00:42:37.200 --> 00:42:40.900
order limit. So of the two issues raised in
818
00:42:40.900 --> 00:42:43.600
particular whilst the first is effectively
```

```
819
00:42:43.600 --> 00:42:46.500
resolved the second so far as we're concerned
820
00:42:46.500 --> 00:42:47.400
remains outstanding.
821
00:42:48.400 --> 00:42:51.300
Thank you very much, Mr. Turney. Would
822
00:42:51.300 --> 00:42:52.000
you like to respond?
823
00:42:53.700 --> 00:42:55.400
Again, I'll hand over to Professor Wade.
824
00:42:57.300 --> 00:42:59.600
Max Wade for the for the applicant
825
00:43:01.400 --> 00:43:04.900
the surveys that were undertaken beyond
826
00:43:04.900 --> 00:43:08.700
the the order limits and relied
827
00:43:07.700 --> 00:43:11.700
on experience gain
828
00:43:10.700 --> 00:43:13.600
during the surveys as
829
00:43:13.600 --> 00:43:17.400
to the types of habitats. That one could
830
00:43:16.400 --> 00:43:19.400
find Stone curly nesting
831
00:43:19.400 --> 00:43:19.400
in.
832
00:43:20.200 --> 00:43:23.900
```

```
and records that existed and
833
00:43:23.900 --> 00:43:26.600
that in particularly RSP had gathered
00:43:26.600 --> 00:43:30.400
in relation to those areas and
00:43:29.400 --> 00:43:30.800
also
836
00:43:32.700 --> 00:43:36.100
linked to that the sort of habitats which
837
00:43:35.100 --> 00:43:38.700
to a certain extent were already
838
00:43:38.700 --> 00:43:41.400
identified through the phase one
839
00:43:41.400 --> 00:43:44.200
habitat survey that extended partly beyond the
840
00:43:44.200 --> 00:43:45.300
order limits.
841
00:43:46.600 --> 00:43:49.400
Combining that with the comment I made
842
00:43:49.400 --> 00:43:52.500
earlier in terms of the experience of the of the
843
00:43:52.500 --> 00:43:54.400
team in terms of our ornithologists.
844
00:43:55.300 --> 00:43:55.500
and
845
00:43:57.200 --> 00:44:00.800
there was no need to cover the whole
```

```
846
00:44:00.800 --> 00:44:04.000
of the 500 meter and zone
847
00:44:03.300 --> 00:44:06.600
of influence. If you like around the
848
00:44:06.600 --> 00:44:11.000
whole of the the order limits the surveys
849
00:44:10.500 --> 00:44:13.500
and could be targeted and
850
00:44:13.500 --> 00:44:14.500
and
8.51
00:44:16.700 --> 00:44:19.300
the effort expended in terms of
852
00:44:19.300 --> 00:44:22.800
those habitats where you might expect to find Stone
853
00:44:22.800 --> 00:44:23.200
curlier.
854
00:44:23.800 --> 00:44:26.200
And I think we do need to put the
00:44:26.200 --> 00:44:29.200
surveys for stone Curlew in
856
00:44:29.200 --> 00:44:32.500
in the context of the bird in this particular
857
00:44:32.500 --> 00:44:35.200
landscape and we're talking
858
00:44:35.200 --> 00:44:38.800
about and I don't think there's been any disagreement
859
00:44:38.800 --> 00:44:42.600
```

```
and that we're talking about four
860
00:44:41.600 --> 00:44:44.500
to five years of birds
00:44:44.500 --> 00:44:46.600
that use this particular area.
862
00:44:47.500 --> 00:44:50.500
That is one pair of birds, but turn
863
00:44:50.500 --> 00:44:51.600
a half square kilometers.
864
00:44:52.400 --> 00:44:56.200
And so I think you would appreciate that undertaking surveys
865
00:44:55.200 --> 00:44:58.300
for these for a
866
00:44:58.300 --> 00:45:01.900
bird at that sort of density and poses significant
867
00:45:01.900 --> 00:45:04.500
challenges and we we have
868
00:45:04.500 --> 00:45:05.500
responded to those.
869
00:45:07.300 --> 00:45:10.300
Both in terms of following recognized methods,
870
00:45:10.300 --> 00:45:13.400
but also working closely with
871
00:45:13.400 --> 00:45:16.300
the rspb particularly at early stages
872
00:45:16.300 --> 00:45:19.100
 in relation to the approach that they had
```

```
873
00:45:19.100 --> 00:45:22.600
taken for the survey's day than to take that They carried
874
00:45:22.600 --> 00:45:25.300
out of the similar area, and so I
875
00:45:25.300 --> 00:45:28.500
hope that provides a little bit of context in terms of what we're
876
00:45:28.500 --> 00:45:30.900
actually looking at. Thank you. Thank you very much.
877
00:45:31.900 --> 00:45:34.800
I move on does any other IP present
878
00:45:34.800 --> 00:45:36.800
have any comments, Mr. Kazalco.
879
00:45:37.500 --> 00:45:40.500
Mr. Woodfield, thank you
880
00:45:40.500 --> 00:45:42.400
Mr. Whitfield for say no to Seneca.
881
00:45:42.900 --> 00:45:43.200
and
882
00:45:44.500 --> 00:45:47.100
I have to say I share the concerns expressed by
883
00:45:47.100 --> 00:45:48.900
West Sussex on this.
884
00:45:50.100 --> 00:45:50.300
the
885
00:45:51.300 --> 00:45:54.500
The issue the way they've Stone Cold uses the local landscape
886
00:45:54.500 --> 00:45:58.500
```

```
around here is largely driven by particular kind
887
00:45:57.500 --> 00:46:00.200
of physiological features such as
888
00:46:00.200 --> 00:46:03.400
large Beyond Sciences, but also the cropping regime in
889
00:46:03.400 --> 00:46:06.400
any particular year. So the burden moves around quite a
890
00:46:06.400 --> 00:46:09.200
lot and I can put it no more simply than if
891
00:46:09.200 --> 00:46:12.200
the bird moves around quite a lot focusing on
892
00:46:12.200 --> 00:46:16.300
particular areas on the basis of past records carries
893
00:46:15.300 --> 00:46:18.500
with it. It's a relatively high possibility of
894
00:46:18.500 --> 00:46:21.700
missing breeding attempts or territory holding
895
00:46:21.700 --> 00:46:24.400
in any particular area. And I think if I
896
00:46:24.400 --> 00:46:28.400
was designing a survey of this nature notwithstanding the
897
00:46:28.400 --> 00:46:31.300
expertise at my disposal, I would want everybody to cover the
ground.
898
00:46:33.300 --> 00:46:36.400
Mr. Attorney just like
899
00:46:36.400 --> 00:46:39.500
to return to you on this bike bearing in mind the points
```

```
900
00:46:39.500 --> 00:46:42.200
that we've heard. Would there
901
00:46:42.200 --> 00:46:42.900
be any Merit in
902
00:46:44.800 --> 00:46:47.900
Carrying out some additional survey work.
903
00:46:48.500 --> 00:46:51.900
very in mind the importance of stone curl
904
00:46:51.900 --> 00:46:52.000
use
905
00:46:52.800 --> 00:46:55.800
I'm rich attorney for the applicant. I think as we've
906
00:46:55.800 --> 00:46:58.400
already explained. It is anticipated that
907
00:46:58.400 --> 00:47:01.900
there could be further bird survey work post consent.
908
00:47:03.900 --> 00:47:07.000
Of course breeding bird Seasons
909
00:47:06.300 --> 00:47:09.800
would not be surveillable before the
910
00:47:09.800 --> 00:47:12.900
end of the examination. So the
911
00:47:12.900 --> 00:47:16.000
prospect of doing so pre-decision I think is is Slim
912
00:47:15.800 --> 00:47:19.000
and I think
```

```
00:47:18.200 --> 00:47:21.400
 we we would say that there's sufficient information. I
914
00:47:21.400 --> 00:47:24.600
think Adam you should take particular comfort from the fact that
Natural
915
00:47:24.600 --> 00:47:27.200
England is satisfied. And of course the reason why that's
916
00:47:27.200 --> 00:47:30.800
important here is the particular importance of stone curly
917
00:47:30.800 --> 00:47:33.100
 is because of the the functional linkage between
918
00:47:33.100 --> 00:47:35.900
Stone curly breeding sites in this area.
919
00:47:36.900 --> 00:47:38.400
and the special protection area
920
00:47:39.300 --> 00:47:40.500
and we've recognized that.
921
00:47:41.900 --> 00:47:44.600
And Natural England is content that we've taken a proportionate
922
00:47:44.600 --> 00:47:47.100
program appropriate approach to survey and they've made
923
00:47:47.100 --> 00:47:50.700
that clear in there written summary which
924
00:47:50.700 --> 00:47:53.300
doubts they would have read out if they were here today. So that's
925
00:47:53.300 --> 00:47:57.000
that's where we'd say that the real Comfort comes from
notwithstanding the
```

```
00:47:56.500 --> 00:47:59.000
concerns. And also I think
927
00:47:59.200 --> 00:48:02.000
when we get on to mitigation, I know you don't want to deal with
928
00:48:02.100 --> 00:48:05.600
that right now, but I think having mind the way
929
00:48:05.600 --> 00:48:08.600
in which we are proposing to mitigate any possible
930
00:48:08.600 --> 00:48:12.600
effects on Stone curly you when considering the data
931
00:48:12.600 --> 00:48:15.300
and considering whether we've
932
00:48:15.300 --> 00:48:18.600
identified likely significant effects with appropriate investigated
00:48:18.600 --> 00:48:21.700
them, which is really the test that you're going to be applying.
And so
934
00:48:21.700 --> 00:48:24.800
 I think the short answer is they're wearing
935
00:48:24.800 --> 00:48:27.800
the more staying curly breeding survey
936
00:48:27.800 --> 00:48:28.100
work.
937
00:48:28.700 --> 00:48:31.100
Before you report and I think
938
00:48:31.100 --> 00:48:35.300
it's fair to say before the center of State makes it decision, but
there
```

```
00:48:34.300 --> 00:48:36.200
 will be further work.
940
00:48:37.700 --> 00:48:40.600
As we come to design the detail the mitigation
941
00:48:40.600 --> 00:48:44.100
and we'll come back to that. Thank you very much. I'd
942
00:48:43.100 --> 00:48:46.200
like to move on now to Flora and
943
00:48:46.200 --> 00:48:49.500
grassland surveys. Sorry. Oh, sorry
944
00:48:49.500 --> 00:48:52.900
Wildlife test. Thank you Wildlife trust
945
00:48:52.900 --> 00:48:56.300
just to say other people have highlighted
00:48:55.300 --> 00:48:58.600
the challenges with surveying for
947
00:48:58.600 --> 00:49:01.500
nesting Stone curly, but I
948
00:49:01.500 --> 00:49:02.400
think it's very important.
949
00:49:03.500 --> 00:49:06.800
or being able to ensure that the scheme
950
00:49:06.800 --> 00:49:10.200
would not have an impact on on nesting
951
00:49:09.200 --> 00:49:12.800
sunk earlier functionally linked to the SBA
00:49:12.800 --> 00:49:13.000
t.hat.
```

```
953
00:49:13.800 --> 00:49:16.200
the maximum number of
954
00:49:16.200 --> 00:49:20.200
reading pairs that could be affected is accurately
955
00:49:19.200 --> 00:49:21.900
identified.
956
00:49:22.700 --> 00:49:25.800
I know from personal conversations with colleagues
957
00:49:25.800 --> 00:49:28.300
at the rspb. But only this year
958
00:49:28.300 --> 00:49:32.800
they have new records of nesting attempts.
959
00:49:32.800 --> 00:49:36.500
I'm not sure successful or not. But within
960
00:49:37.200 --> 00:49:40.600
certainly within one and a half kilometers of
961
00:49:40.600 --> 00:49:44.100
the scheme area, which is the
962
00:49:43.100 --> 00:49:46.600
except up to which can be
00:49:46.600 --> 00:49:49.100
felt on nesting Bears. So I think
964
00:49:49.100 --> 00:49:50.000
there's still
965
00:49:50.700 --> 00:49:53.400
Some some useful discussion that the applicant could
966
```

```
00:49:53.400 --> 00:49:56.200
have with the rspb to insure that the numbers of
967
00:49:56.200 --> 00:50:00.700
nesting players potentially affected are accurately identified in
968
00:50:00.700 --> 00:50:01.200
the first place.
969
00:50:05.300 --> 00:50:09.300
A new
970
00:50:08.300 --> 00:50:11.600
further comments before we move on bearing in
971
00:50:11.600 --> 00:50:14.700
mind. We have a lot of yes it Grant from West Suffolk
972
00:50:14.700 --> 00:50:17.400
Council just before we do move on in respect
00:50:17.400 --> 00:50:17.700
of
974
00:50:18.400 --> 00:50:19.300
at the
975
00:50:20.600 --> 00:50:23.700
the comments made by manfremist attorney
976
00:50:23.700 --> 00:50:27.000
in respect of the Natural England position. Can
977
00:50:26.300 --> 00:50:30.500
I just a very briefly to Miss Fisher
978
00:50:30.500 --> 00:50:33.400
on my left just to assist you a little bit further
979
00:50:33.400 --> 00:50:34.100
on that? Okay.
```

```
980
00:50:37.600 --> 00:50:40.800
Madam Jackie Fisher and Western Council, my understanding
981
00:50:40.800 --> 00:50:44.300
of natural England's position is was
982
00:50:43.300 --> 00:50:47.600
presented in rep to 090 and
983
00:50:46.600 --> 00:50:49.300
they refer to
984
00:50:49.300 --> 00:50:51.800
a response by the applicant.
985
00:50:52.600 --> 00:50:56.800
And to Natural English relevant red where natural
986
00:50:55.800 --> 00:50:58.400
England's position is that are still
987
00:50:58.400 --> 00:51:01.500
waiting for further habitat survey to
988
00:51:01.500 --> 00:51:05.300
identify that there was no further suitable Stone
989
00:51:04.300 --> 00:51:07.200
Colusa and habitat within the
990
00:51:07.200 --> 00:51:10.600
500 meter area that was missed
991
00:51:10.600 --> 00:51:13.700
in 2020 and 21.
992
00:51:15.100 --> 00:51:18.800
And in Natural England and relative
```

```
00:51:18.800 --> 00:51:21.700
reps they set out the position that if
994
00:51:21.700 --> 00:51:24.600
the applicant couldn't provide that information that
995
00:51:24.600 --> 00:51:27.700
further assessment desktop assessment in
996
00:51:27.700 --> 00:51:30.600
terms of habitat suitability would be required and that
997
00:51:30.600 --> 00:51:34.500
was in natural. England's relevant reps are one
998
00:51:33.500 --> 00:51:35.400
two, nine one.
999
00:51:39.500 --> 00:51:42.300
Thank you. I would like to move on
1000
00:51:42.300 --> 00:51:45.500
if possible, but hopefully discussions can continue
1001
00:51:45.500 --> 00:51:48.800
to play take those outside the examination with regard
1002
00:51:48.800 --> 00:51:50.700
to future work.
1003
00:51:52.500 --> 00:51:56.000
Thank you. So moving on to Flora and grassland surveys
1004
00:51:55.200 --> 00:51:56.800
and I would
1005
00:51:59.400 --> 00:52:02.700
I would like to start by turning to
1006
00:52:02.700 --> 00:52:05.600
the applicant, please but referring to
```

```
1007
00:52:05.600 --> 00:52:09.000
the local authorities joint local impact
1008
00:52:08.800 --> 00:52:11.300
report where the local
1009
00:52:11.300 --> 00:52:14.900
authorities have queried the geographical extent of surveys of
1010
00:52:14.900 --> 00:52:15.300
our
1011
00:52:16.100 --> 00:52:21.100
habitat and notable Flores is in app-079.
1012
00:52:24.400 --> 00:52:27.500
And I wondered if you could help me please with some
1013
00:52:27.500 --> 00:52:31.000
more information about the extent of surveys
1014
00:52:30.200 --> 00:52:33.300
of arable habitat and
1015
00:52:33.300 --> 00:52:36.100
arable flora and why they do appear to be
1016
00:52:36.100 --> 00:52:39.500
significant gaps in the coverage of the survey work.
1017
00:52:42.700 --> 00:52:46.700
Max weight for the applicant. Thank
1018
00:52:45.700 --> 00:52:47.000
you.
1019
00:52:49.200 --> 00:52:53.000
as previously we undertook surveys and
1020
```

```
00:52:52.400 --> 00:52:56.000
following appropriate guidance and
1021
00:52:55.300 --> 00:52:58.400
I think here probably the the point
1022
00:52:58.400 --> 00:53:01.700
is more of a spatial one than a temple one
1023
00:53:01.700 --> 00:53:04.100
intensive when the surveys were undertaken and
1024
00:53:06.400 --> 00:53:09.200
the the surveys covered the
1025
00:53:09.200 --> 00:53:13.100
full extent of particularly field margins and
1026
00:53:12.100 --> 00:53:15.800
Associated grass
1027
00:53:15.800 --> 00:53:16.500
and Spritz.
1028
00:53:17.300 --> 00:53:17.500
and
1029
00:53:18.500 --> 00:53:21.300
again as with stone curly you and the birds with
1030
00:53:21.300 --> 00:53:25.000
we're talking about a dynamic landscape in
1031
00:53:24.300 --> 00:53:27.800
terms of the Intensive out
1032
00:53:27.800 --> 00:53:30.900
of Agriculture that occurs there and the
1033
00:53:30.900 --> 00:53:33.900
arable Flora is very much tied
```

```
1034
00:53:33.900 --> 00:53:36.800
to that as are the stone clearly. So
1035
00:53:36.800 --> 00:53:39.500
in in one year, you may find a
1036
00:53:39.500 --> 00:53:42.700
particular Suite of a variable
1037
00:53:42.700 --> 00:53:45.400
weeds in in one field
1038
00:53:45.400 --> 00:53:48.100
margin and the next year. They're gone. They can be
1039
00:53:48.100 --> 00:53:52.000
gone for four five years till the rotation picks up and and
1040
00:53:51.700 --> 00:53:54.300
they reappear and
1041
00:53:55.900 --> 00:53:59.100
That I think could explain some of the discrepancies
1042
00:53:58.100 --> 00:54:01.300
in terms of you know, why didn't you
1043
00:54:01.300 --> 00:54:05.300
find a particular Suite of species here
1044
00:54:05.300 --> 00:54:07.200
when they were there last year?
1045
00:54:08.400 --> 00:54:12.000
I think secondly it again. We
1046
00:54:11.500 --> 00:54:16.100
have been undertaking habitat surveys
```

```
00:54:15.100 --> 00:54:18.800
which in are based on the on the
1048
00:54:18.800 --> 00:54:21.800
floor in order to keep that
1049
00:54:21.800 --> 00:54:25.200
eye on the unchanged within the within the
1050
00:54:25.200 --> 00:54:26.700
order limits and
1051
00:54:28.400 --> 00:54:31.800
have obviously noticed these sorts of changes and recorded
1052
00:54:31.800 --> 00:54:36.600
them. We've also taken the opportunity to survey
1053
00:54:35.600 --> 00:54:38.900
other areas or areas
1054
00:54:38.900 --> 00:54:41.700
that have been brought into the the
1055
00:54:41.700 --> 00:54:44.200
 order limits particular particularly and sort of earlier on
1056
00:54:44.200 --> 00:54:47.300
as design changes Etc took place.
1057
00:54:47.300 --> 00:54:51.100
So again those initial
1058
00:54:50.100 --> 00:54:54.200
surveys that we undertook could
1059
00:54:53.200 --> 00:54:57.100
 appear to have gaps looking at them in
1060
00:54:56.100 --> 00:54:59.200
terms of where we are now, but we
```

```
1061
00:54:59.200 --> 00:55:03.700
have fill those gaps in and recorded the
1062
00:55:03.700 --> 00:55:06.600
Flora to ensure we have again a
1063
00:55:06.600 --> 00:55:10.000
comprehensive account within the within the
1064
00:55:10.900 --> 00:55:13.200
within the order limits. Thank you. Thank you.
1065
00:55:13.200 --> 00:55:16.300
Would any of the local authorities like to comments with stuff?
1066
00:55:16.900 --> 00:55:19.600
Yes plays Ed Grant from West Suffolk.
1067
00:55:21.200 --> 00:55:25.100
The principal issue that was identified
1068
00:55:24.100 --> 00:55:28.500
in respect of the arable field surveys.
1069
00:55:29.400 --> 00:55:33.100
Again, your reference is lir at
1070
00:55:32.100 --> 00:55:33.900
8.89.
1071
00:55:34.900 --> 00:55:37.100
It is that significant areas have been
1072
00:55:37.100 --> 00:55:40.600
excluded from the surveys and the response.
1073
00:55:41.700 --> 00:55:42.900
from the Applicant
```

```
00:55:43.600 --> 00:55:46.700
In their written response, which forms
1075
00:55:46.700 --> 00:55:50.400
part of the position just set out to you orally it
1076
00:55:49.400 --> 00:55:52.300
is that all accessible.
1077
00:55:53.500 --> 00:55:57.100
Arable Fields within the order limits were
1078
00:55:56.100 --> 00:55:58.200
surveyed.
1079
00:55:58.800 --> 00:56:01.100
And the issue that has been identified.
1080
00:56:02.200 --> 00:56:03.900
within the lir
1081
00:56:04.600 --> 00:56:07.900
It is that the word the word
1082
00:56:07.900 --> 00:56:10.800
accessible so we are in
1083
00:56:10.800 --> 00:56:11.800
the dark effectively.
1084
00:56:13.500 --> 00:56:16.400
As to which the fields were
1085
00:56:16.400 --> 00:56:17.900
scoped down.
1086
00:56:19.500 --> 00:56:22.300
On the basis of accessibility and without
1087
00:56:22.300 --> 00:56:23.300
knowing that information.
```

```
1088
00:56:25.200 --> 00:56:28.600
It's very difficult with respect to understand whether
1089
00:56:28.600 --> 00:56:30.500
or not the survey is a sufficiently comprehensive.
1090
00:56:32.300 --> 00:56:36.300
So in terms of that position is concerned our understanding.
1091
00:56:37.600 --> 00:56:40.600
Is that we were or are
1092
00:56:40.600 --> 00:56:42.000
to be provided with further information?
1093
00:56:44.300 --> 00:56:48.000
To assist the councils in understanding the
1094
00:56:47.200 --> 00:56:50.600
areas that were scoped out on the basis of accessibility
1095
00:56:50.600 --> 00:56:53.200
and that information I understand is
1096
00:56:53.200 --> 00:56:53.900
yet to be provided.
1097
00:56:55.400 --> 00:56:58.900
Before I turn back to the applicant. I've just like to ask the
1098
00:56:58.900 --> 00:57:00.400
Suffolk Wildlife Trust.
1099
00:57:03.900 --> 00:57:06.200
And Mr. Mohammed here on behalf
1100
00:57:06.200 --> 00:57:06.600
of people.
```

```
00:57:08.200 --> 00:57:11.700
Oh, yes a married mom. May I
1102
00:57:11.700 --> 00:57:14.500
just invite Miss Ahmed Who's online live
1103
00:57:14.500 --> 00:57:17.700
assist you? Just very briefly on our on our
1104
00:57:17.700 --> 00:57:20.200
particularly in relation to what my learner friend just
1105
00:57:20.200 --> 00:57:23.200
mentioned in relation to the further information. We're still
1106
00:57:23.200 --> 00:57:25.900
waiting for assignment should be
1107
00:57:27.100 --> 00:57:31.900
Thank you very much, Tristan. I mean you are mad
1108
00:57:31.900 --> 00:57:34.700
from campshire County Council ecology officer.
1109
00:57:34.700 --> 00:57:37.300
Yeah is exactly that issue of
1110
00:57:37.300 --> 00:57:40.200
we just don't understand what has been surveyed and what
1111
00:57:40.200 --> 00:57:43.500
has been missing and whether we can consider the amount
1112
00:57:43.500 --> 00:57:46.500
of survey work undertaken is a true representation
1113
00:57:46.500 --> 00:57:49.700
of the habitats that are there and obviously as
1114
00:57:49.700 --> 00:57:53.000
Mr. Wade explained I will
```

```
1115
00:57:52.200 --> 00:57:55.700
plants will pop up one year and not another but they
1116
00:57:55.700 --> 00:57:59.100
are quite confined to those specific Fields generally.
1117
00:57:58.100 --> 00:58:01.100
So if an area has been
1118
00:58:01.100 --> 00:58:04.800
identified of County importance
1119
00:58:04.800 --> 00:58:07.600
or District importance, it's important to know where it
1120
00:58:07.600 --> 00:58:10.300
is within the site really to help that
1121
00:58:10.300 --> 00:58:14.000
issue. The other issue is the underlying
1122
00:58:13.800 --> 00:58:16.700
phase one mapping that
1123
00:58:16.700 --> 00:58:20.400
was done onto which the arable the
1124
00:58:20.400 --> 00:58:23.900
detailed Botanical surveys were conducted. So
1125
00:58:23.900 --> 00:58:26.700
that showed areas of
1126
00:58:27.300 --> 00:58:30.200
Do it your identified as arable or grassland and that would
1127
00:58:30.200 --> 00:58:33.400
have triggered whether or not they were taking forward for more
1128
```

```
00:58:33.400 --> 00:58:36.700
detailed Botanical surveys and from our
1129
00:58:36.700 --> 00:58:39.500
set out in our lir that's
1130
00:58:39.500 --> 00:58:44.500
from our site visit to Sonic Westby that
1131
00:58:43.500 --> 00:58:47.100
some of those fields were inaccurately mapped.
1132
00:58:46.100 --> 00:58:49.200
So some of them one of
1133
00:58:49.200 --> 00:58:52.100
the fields which was going to have some solar panels on
1134
00:58:52.100 --> 00:58:56.500
was identified as arable when it was clearly posture and
1135
00:58:55.500 --> 00:58:58.400
grassland and from every
1136
00:58:58.400 --> 00:59:01.700
photography from a very crude assessment.
1137
00:59:01.700 --> 00:59:04.600
There are a number of fields around the scheme which
1138
00:59:04.600 --> 00:59:07.700
are also misidentified as arable and
1139
00:59:07.700 --> 00:59:10.300
it's also the understanding from that would like from
1140
00:59:10.300 --> 00:59:11.400
the applicant to understand.
1141
00:59:12.500 --> 00:59:15.200
If those have been missed and they haven't been
```

```
1142
00:59:15.200 --> 00:59:18.700
taken forward for those next set of Botanical surveys. How
1143
00:59:18.700 --> 00:59:21.700
do we know what quality they are? And we'd hoping
1144
00:59:21.700 --> 00:59:25.800
to have that further information submitted. I
1145
00:59:25.800 --> 00:59:28.000
don't know which deadline they've said. I think it might be
deadline.
1146
00:59:28.900 --> 00:59:31.500
Four or five? I'm not too sure but without
1147
00:59:31.500 --> 00:59:34.500
that level of detail, we can't really comment any further on
1148
00:59:34.500 --> 00:59:37.600
the accuracy of that survey work.
1149
00:59:39.400 --> 00:59:43.800
Thank you very much, Mr. Kazalco.
00:59:49.500 --> 00:59:53.000
Don't say no to Seneca and Mum you'd
1151
00:59:52.100 --> 00:59:54.500
have seen our representations on this.
1152
00:59:56.100 --> 00:59:59.700
We identified lots of shortfalls and I don't recognize Professor
1153
00:59:59.700 --> 01:00:02.400
Wade's characterization of how the surveys were
1154
01:00:02.400 --> 01:00:05.000
approached because there is a temporal element to this
```

```
1155
01:00:05.600 --> 01:00:08.200
and that's just quite correctly by miss armit
1156
01:00:08.200 --> 01:00:11.700
the phase one survey was subject to a whole
1157
01:00:11.700 --> 01:00:14.300
manner of areas, which are in part like you derived from
1158
01:00:14.300 --> 01:00:17.300
the fact that it was taken took place a very late in the year in
1159
01:00:17.300 --> 01:00:21.300
November and December not a good time for looking for our plants
and
1160
01:00:20.300 --> 01:00:23.300
obviously the areas that
1161
01:00:23.300 --> 01:00:26.200
were made at that stage which appeared to be recognized by the
1162
01:00:26.200 --> 01:00:29.300
Applicant in their commitment to undertake further phase two
1163
01:00:29.300 --> 01:00:32.100
surveys. Although they were promised a deadline one and we still
1164
01:00:32.100 --> 01:00:35.400
haven't seen them and the areas there
1165
01:00:35.400 --> 01:00:38.400
would have been compounded by the decision to then screen out
1166
01:00:38.400 --> 01:00:41.200
large areas of the site for further surveys for
1167
01:00:41.200 --> 01:00:44.800
arable florist. So the work that was done the targeted
1168
```

```
01:00:44.800 --> 01:00:47.800
work has done looking for arable Flora with was
1169
01:00:47.800 --> 01:00:50.000
a very much more restricted number of fields.
1170
01:00:50.500 --> 01:00:53.000
And even in the cursory efforts that we
1171
01:00:53.500 --> 01:00:56.100
manage in July based on public access we were able to
1172
01:00:56.100 --> 01:01:00.400
find other fields which had elevated levels
1173
01:01:00.400 --> 01:01:03.800
of value for arable Flora. So there are
1174
01:01:03.800 --> 01:01:06.500
fairly significant gaps in the
1175
01:01:06.500 --> 01:01:09.600
Baseline information. And we as
1176
01:01:09.600 --> 01:01:12.700
 I say, we we flag these we bought
1177
01:01:12.700 --> 01:01:15.700
them to the applicants attention the applicant responded
1178
01:01:15.700 --> 01:01:18.000
saying it is going to do some further work and it was
1179
01:01:18.400 --> 01:01:21.300
promised to us it deadline one and we've just gone past
1180
01:01:21.300 --> 01:01:23.100
deadline three aim. We still haven't seen it.
1181
01:01:24.900 --> 01:01:27.100
Thank you, Mr. Mayfield. Did you
```

```
1182
01:01:27.100 --> 01:01:28.400
have any further comments?
1183
01:01:32.400 --> 01:01:33.500
Yes. Thank you.
1184
01:01:35.700 --> 01:01:38.900
I don't think I have anything to add except to
1185
01:01:38.900 --> 01:01:42.100
say that I agree that it is
1186
01:01:41.100 --> 01:01:45.300
concerning that the survey.
1187
01:01:46.300 --> 01:01:49.500
Work to of arable Flora has not
1188
01:01:49.500 --> 01:01:52.200
been complete Suffolk. Wildlife trust
01:01:52.200 --> 01:01:53.700
has not conducted its own.
1190
01:01:54.600 --> 01:01:57.800
Surveys to ground truth what has
1191
01:01:57.800 --> 01:02:00.700
been reported by the applicant but I think as evidence
1192
01:02:00.700 --> 01:02:03.500
by the say notes
1193
01:02:03.500 --> 01:02:06.800
Sonica ecologist does suggest
1194
01:02:06.800 --> 01:02:08.900
that further surveys should be conducted.
```

```
01:02:10.900 --> 01:02:13.300
You'll be aware that suffered Wildlife
1196
01:02:13.300 --> 01:02:17.200
trust has a statement of common ground with the applicant and
arable
1197
01:02:16.200 --> 01:02:19.500
Flora is one of the matters under
1198
01:02:19.500 --> 01:02:22.500
 discussion between ourselves and and
1199
01:02:22.500 --> 01:02:23.200
Akon.
1200
01:02:24.200 --> 01:02:27.700
We have been told that further
1201
01:02:27.700 --> 01:02:30.700
 surveys and evidence have been obtained and will
1202
01:02:30.700 --> 01:02:33.600
be presented on arable Flora,
1203
01:02:33.600 --> 01:02:36.300
but we haven't seen that evidence yet ourselves.
01:02:37.900 --> 01:02:40.100
Thank you.
1205
01:02:42.300 --> 01:02:45.500
So returning to the applicant of Mr.
1206
01:02:45.500 --> 01:02:48.600
Tony, can you clarify please is the applicant planning
1207
01:02:48.600 --> 01:02:50.500
on doing more survey?
1208
01:02:51.300 --> 01:02:54.600
```

```
During the examination it didn't the
1209
01:02:54.600 --> 01:02:57.100
survey Bridge said if the applicant the survey I'm
1210
01:02:57.100 --> 01:03:00.400
just trying to confirm when we're going to submit that survey work
that's
1211
01:03:00.400 --> 01:03:00.800
been referred to.
1212
01:03:01.900 --> 01:03:03.000
so I'll be
1213
01:03:07.200 --> 01:03:10.500
We're gonna have to come back to you to confirm the
1214
01:03:10.500 --> 01:03:13.900
day but the further survey work that has been referred to
1215
01:03:13.900 --> 01:03:16.800
as something that we will be submitting. Right? Yes.
1216
01:03:16.800 --> 01:03:19.300
If you could come back to I yes, I just need to
1217
01:03:19.300 --> 01:03:23.000
check when it's going to be submitted to this aminational documents
to
1218
01:03:22.200 --> 01:03:25.300
get through. I think
1219
01:03:25.300 --> 01:03:28.600
the other point to just come
1220
01:03:28.600 --> 01:03:31.700
back on from the local authorities perspective is
1221
01:03:31.700 --> 01:03:34.600
```

```
that if there's further clarification needed
1222
01:03:34.600 --> 01:03:37.100
is to the limitations we've described
1223
01:03:37.100 --> 01:03:40.700
in our methodology on Flora, then
1224
01:03:40.700 --> 01:03:43.500
we can hopefully settle those with
1225
01:03:43.500 --> 01:03:46.300
the same to Common Ground process and provide the local
1226
01:03:46.300 --> 01:03:49.600
authorities with the relevant information is to which Fields
1227
01:03:49.600 --> 01:03:52.400
there was access for and which builds that would not accessible
1228
01:03:52.400 --> 01:03:55.100
and matters such as that. So I think
1229
01:03:55.100 --> 01:04:00.500
we'll have to say that offline because we're not going to work
through that in the hearing
1230
01:03:59.500 --> 01:04:00.800
itself.
1231
01:04:01.800 --> 01:04:05.000
Thank you. Thank you
1232
01:04:04.100 --> 01:04:07.500
very much for that. I would now
1233
01:04:07.500 --> 01:04:11.600
well I have on my agenda invertebrate survey,
1234
01:04:11.600 --> 01:04:13.000
but I think we can probably.
```

```
1235
01:04:16.200 --> 01:04:19.700
defer this pending the change request
1236
01:04:19.700 --> 01:04:22.400
because there had been various points
1237
01:04:22.400 --> 01:04:25.800
raised about aquatic micro invertebrates
1238
01:04:25.800 --> 01:04:28.500
surveys and the applicant has
1239
01:04:28.500 --> 01:04:32.000
 submitted a review of the impact of
1240
01:04:31.500 --> 01:04:35.200
the scheme on Patrick invertebrates,
1241
01:04:34.200 --> 01:04:35.400
but
1242
01:04:36.200 --> 01:04:39.500
And in view of the perspective change request, I
1243
01:04:39.500 --> 01:04:42.500
suggest that we leave that for now unless any
1244
01:04:42.500 --> 01:04:45.200
IP had burning comments that they
1245
01:04:45.200 --> 01:04:47.200
wanted to make at this point.
1246
01:04:49.200 --> 01:04:54.100
No, it looks like a no trees woodlands
1247
01:04:53.100 --> 01:04:56.400
and hedgerows. I propose that
```

```
01:04:56.400 --> 01:04:59.400
we deal with later on under landscape and visual impact.
1249
01:05:02.700 --> 01:05:06.100
Which I think brings me to the end of the section
1250
01:05:05.100 --> 01:05:08.400
on ecological survey
1251
01:05:08.400 --> 01:05:11.700
work. Unless anyone had any final comments before we move
1252
01:05:11.700 --> 01:05:14.600
on to looking at impacts on
1253
01:05:14.600 --> 01:05:16.400
Stone failures in particular.
1254
01:05:17.700 --> 01:05:20.300
Yes, Wellington Paramount all the McKenzie
01:05:20.300 --> 01:05:23.500
Wellington Parish Council. I will apologize
1256
01:05:23.500 --> 01:05:26.500
if this question is completely unrelevant, but
1257
01:05:26.500 --> 01:05:28.900
are we going to discuss backs?
1258
01:05:33.400 --> 01:05:34.200
I wasn't.
1259
01:05:34.800 --> 01:05:37.700
Going to bring up that specifically under survey
1260
01:05:37.700 --> 01:05:40.600
work it is it is relevant to the trees and
1261
01:05:40.600 --> 01:05:43.500
Woodland discussion later. I think that's will be
```

```
1262
01:05:43.500 --> 01:05:45.200
cropping up. Thank you very much. Thank you.
1263
01:05:47.300 --> 01:05:52.100
So moving on I'd like now to think
1264
01:05:50.100 --> 01:05:53.600
about impact on
1265
01:05:53.600 --> 01:05:56.200
Stone curl you specifically and the adequacy of
1266
01:05:56.200 --> 01:05:57.900
the proposed which mitigation.
1267
01:05:58.700 --> 01:06:02.200
So starting with the local authorities, could you
1268
01:06:02.200 --> 01:06:05.400
please summarize your key concerns as expressed in the
1269
01:06:05.400 --> 01:06:08.400
local impact report in relation to impacts on the stone
1270
01:06:08.400 --> 01:06:11.600
Curlew population that we during the construction and
1271
01:06:11.600 --> 01:06:13.800
then the operational phases.
1272
01:06:16.900 --> 01:06:19.200
That matter. Yes Edgar Allan for
1273
01:06:19.200 --> 01:06:23.300
West of the council so far as the principal impacts the
1274
01:06:22.300 --> 01:06:25.400
again the reference
```

```
01:06:25.400 --> 01:06:28.200
 from the lir is 8.6 and in
1276
01:06:28.200 --> 01:06:29.400
particular the second bullet.
1277
01:06:30.100 --> 01:06:33.500
And which identifies in particular the impacts on
1278
01:06:33.500 --> 01:06:34.300
E12?
1279
01:06:35.800 --> 01:06:35.900
and
1280
01:06:36.800 --> 01:06:39.900
and potentially as it's described e05 and
1281
01:06:39.900 --> 01:06:41.000
e13.
1282
01:06:42.600 --> 01:06:44.100
and the
1283
01:06:46.300 --> 01:06:49.100
that those Parcels are
1284
01:06:49.100 --> 01:06:52.000
identified on the basis of the survey results carried out
1285
01:06:52.100 --> 01:06:56.800
by the applicant the 2019 to 2021 surveys which
01:06:55.800 --> 01:07:00.400
showed a a
1287
01:06:58.400 --> 01:07:02.700
significant we'd
1288
01:07:01.700 --> 01:07:04.400
say amount of nestings in E12.
```

```
1289
01:07:06.100 --> 01:07:09.400
with further Nest things identified in E5 and
1290
01:07:09.400 --> 01:07:10.600
e13
1291
01:07:13.100 --> 01:07:16.700
addition of the applicant as we understand it from their response
page 17.
1292
01:07:17.700 --> 01:07:20.900
It is to avoid blocks
1293
01:07:20.900 --> 01:07:23.900
where there is regular nesting.
1294
01:07:26.800 --> 01:07:30.400
Because we see it. It is not a battle position.
1295
01:07:32.100 --> 01:07:35.000
with the proposals in respective E12
1296
01:07:36.500 --> 01:07:39.400
and so far as the mitigation hierarchy is concerned.
01:07:40.400 --> 01:07:45.300
It Remains the position of where
1298
01:07:43.300 --> 01:07:45.800
suffer.
1299
01:07:47.300 --> 01:07:51.100
That's so far as E12 is concerned avoidance is
1300
01:07:50.100 --> 01:07:53.500
the territory in which we are in in
1301
01:07:53.500 --> 01:07:57.300
 respect of whether or not there should be solar infrastructure
```

```
1302
01:07:57.300 --> 01:07:58.300
with any 12.
1303
01:07:59.300 --> 01:08:02.800
So far as E5 and e13 are
1304
01:08:02.800 --> 01:08:03.000
concern.
1305
01:08:05.800 --> 01:08:09.000
the position is we say
1306
01:08:08.600 --> 01:08:11.200
a little more nuanced to the
1307
01:08:11.200 --> 01:08:11.600
extent that
1308
01:08:12.600 --> 01:08:15.300
the applicant's position
1309
01:08:15.300 --> 01:08:16.100
is based on.
1310
01:08:17.100 --> 01:08:18.700
historical data
1311
01:08:22.600 --> 01:08:26.000
and the councils are at a disadvantage
1312
01:08:25.300 --> 01:08:28.400
at this stage to the extent that they haven't seen
1313
01:08:28.400 --> 01:08:31.200
that historical data and I think awaiting for
1314
01:08:31.200 --> 01:08:35.000
it to be provided. So in terms of ea5 and
1315
01:08:34.000 --> 01:08:35.700
```

```
1316
01:08:37.400 --> 01:08:39.400
our position at this stage
1317
01:08:40.400 --> 01:08:43.800
is that again? E5 veneers at
1318
01:08:43.800 --> 01:08:45.100
least 13 are in the
1319
01:08:47.500 --> 01:08:50.900
That ought to be considered in the avoidance tier
1320
01:08:50.900 --> 01:08:51.800
of the hierarchy.
1321
01:08:54.200 --> 01:08:57.400
But before moving into the issue of whether or not mitigation.
1322
01:08:59.700 --> 01:09:02.800
Can be demonstrated to
1323
01:09:02.800 --> 01:09:03.300
be effective.
1324
01:09:05.100 --> 01:09:08.500
So Madam, those are the principal concerns in terms of impact. You
want
1325
01:09:08.500 --> 01:09:13.200
me to summarize the position in terms of the concerns arising
1326
01:09:12.200 --> 01:09:15.300
out of the proposed mitigation strategy.
1327
01:09:16.700 --> 01:09:21.600
Clarify in relation to plot E12
1328
01:09:20.600 --> 01:09:23.200
 so I had
```

e13.

```
1329
01:09:23.200 --> 01:09:27.000
noted down that you are suggesting that E12 e13
1330
01:09:26.200 --> 01:09:30.300
and e05 should be
1331
01:09:30.300 --> 01:09:31.600
removed from the order.
1332
01:09:33.400 --> 01:09:36.800
We have the so far as E5
1333
01:09:36.800 --> 01:09:38.500
and e13 are concerned.
1334
01:09:40.800 --> 01:09:43.500
We effectively have put an asterisk
1335
01:09:43.500 --> 01:09:46.100
next to them. Hence the use of the
1336
01:09:46.100 --> 01:09:48.000
work potentially in the lir.
1337
01:09:49.500 --> 01:09:52.300
And the explanation for the Astra. So what the asteris would
1338
01:09:52.300 --> 01:09:56.000
say as it were is is that we need
1339
01:09:55.200 --> 01:09:59.300
to see the historical data in order
1340
01:09:58.300 --> 01:10:00.100
to be able to
1341
01:10:01.800 --> 01:10:02.100
```

```
01:10:04.500 --> 01:10:07.500
finalize our position in respect
1343
01:10:07.500 --> 01:10:07.600
1344
01:10:08.500 --> 01:10:11.700
Those two Parcels, but what about E12 I'm
1345
01:10:11.700 --> 01:10:15.000
as far as he 12 is concerned. The position is is more
1346
01:10:14.700 --> 01:10:17.500
concrete right because in
1347
01:10:17.500 --> 01:10:18.500
respect of E12.
1348
01:10:20.200 --> 01:10:22.000
That there were significant.
1349
01:10:23.500 --> 01:10:25.100
nestings identified
1350
01:10:26.800 --> 01:10:30.000
and that's why in the lir whereas the
1351
01:10:29.100 --> 01:10:32.600
word potentially was used as a prefix
1352
01:10:32.600 --> 01:10:34.100
to E5 in e13.
1353
01:10:35.100 --> 01:10:38.800
It wasn't introspective E12. So in terms of E12 our
1354
01:10:38.800 --> 01:10:41.400
position is is that there are not to be any panels
1355
01:10:41.400 --> 01:10:42.100
where they need 12.
```

```
1356
01:10:43.700 --> 01:10:46.000
Thank you very much. Yes, that's clear now.
1357
01:10:48.300 --> 01:10:48.500
1358
01:10:49.800 --> 01:10:52.100
any other comments from the local authorities?
1359
01:10:53.500 --> 01:10:58.000
No, so Mr. Gazellkan, that's what
1360
01:10:57.000 --> 01:10:58.200
you
1361
01:10:59.600 --> 01:11:02.000
thank you. Yes, Mr. Whitfield for say no to Seneca.
1362
01:11:03.400 --> 01:11:07.000
Just quickly to impulse the comments of West Essex
1363
01:11:06.600 --> 01:11:09.600
West Africa. Sorry in respect
1364
01:11:09.600 --> 01:11:14.000
of the question marks over 305 and
1365
01:11:15.200 --> 01:11:18.200
I'm concerned as we now talking about impacts and
1366
01:11:18.200 --> 01:11:21.800
mitigation and compensation. I'm concerned about Quantum
1367
01:11:21.800 --> 01:11:22.100
here.
1368
01:11:24.900 --> 01:11:27.300
Professor Wade earlier on talked about one stone
1369
```

```
01:11:27.300 --> 01:11:28.200
career every kind of
1370
01:11:29.500 --> 01:11:32.000
overcome that we said one and a half two and a half two and a half
1371
01:11:32.400 --> 01:11:35.200
kilometers and by my
1372
01:11:35.200 --> 01:11:38.900
calculations the amount of compensation or mitigation provision
1373
01:11:38.900 --> 01:11:41.900
being provided under the scheme that present it's
1374
01:11:41.900 --> 01:11:44.600
probably particularly when you take into account the 500 meter
1375
01:11:44.600 --> 01:11:47.400
buffer of disturbance. It's probably going to
1376
01:11:47.400 --> 01:11:50.200
be only going to be enough to cater for something like 2 to 3 pairs
1377
01:11:50.200 --> 01:11:53.300
yet we hear that in some some years
1378
01:11:53.300 --> 01:11:56.300
and the numbers of players next
1379
01:11:56.300 --> 01:11:59.200
 thing either within the order limits or very close to them exceeds
that
1380
01:11:59.800 --> 01:12:02.100
And and in any event, there is no
1381
01:12:02.100 --> 01:12:05.600
fail safe built into that level of provision for any
1382
```

```
01:12:05.600 --> 01:12:08.300
degree of failure. And it seems that it rewards
1383
01:12:08.300 --> 01:12:11.200
automatically be successfully what attract Stone code is
1384
01:12:11.200 --> 01:12:15.000
and that they were all flock to these mitigation compensation
1385
01:12:14.900 --> 01:12:18.100
habitats and issue any
1386
01:12:17.100 --> 01:12:20.400
other areas that they may previously have used
1387
01:12:20.400 --> 01:12:23.500
and I think that builds in an extremely high
1388
01:12:23.500 --> 01:12:27.300
level of risk for an extremely important species and I
1389
01:12:26.300 --> 01:12:30.100
just query whether the Quantum of compensatory
1390
01:12:29.100 --> 01:12:32.200
provision is sufficient to build
1391
01:12:32.200 --> 01:12:33.400
in those risk factors.
1392
01:12:34.600 --> 01:12:35.000
Thank you.
1393
01:12:38.300 --> 01:12:41.400
Mr. Mayfield you have any comments at this
1394
01:12:41.400 --> 01:12:44.300
stage and only to Echo the
1395
01:12:44.300 --> 01:12:48.000
 comments of West South Afric Council and to
```

```
1396
01:12:47.200 --> 01:12:50.200
 say that it's it's not clear to me
1397
01:12:50.200 --> 01:12:53.800
what the justification is for jumping from
1398
01:12:53.800 --> 01:12:56.600
the avoidance or bypassing the
1399
01:12:56.600 --> 01:12:59.600
avoidance stage of them. It's like mitigation hierarchy in
1400
01:12:59.600 --> 01:13:03.000
relation to e 12 and potentially 13
1401
01:13:02.200 --> 01:13:04.200
and and do five.
1402
01:13:05.200 --> 01:13:08.700
We do have some questions about the
1403
01:13:08.700 --> 01:13:10.600
adequacy of the proposed mitigation.
1404
01:13:12.600 --> 01:13:15.100
And I think there's there's a lot
1405
01:13:15.100 --> 01:13:18.400
of outstanding issues that
1406
01:13:18.400 --> 01:13:21.600
need to be discussed in relation to that to ensure
1407
01:13:21.600 --> 01:13:24.300
that that mitigation is adequate and
1408
01:13:24.300 --> 01:13:28.000
that it is flexible enough and
1409
```

```
01:13:27.900 --> 01:13:31.400
 adaptive to how Stone Curly's
1410
01:13:30.400 --> 01:13:34.700
might potentially be affected
1411
01:13:34.700 --> 01:13:37.300
by the scheme and how they might respond in terms of
1412
01:13:37.300 --> 01:13:41.300
where they might be displaced to within
1413
01:13:40.300 --> 01:13:44.300
the the local area. So
1414
01:13:45.400 --> 01:13:48.600
Essentially, we consider this still very much
1415
01:13:48.600 --> 01:13:49.900
an outstanding issue.
1416
01:13:51.900 --> 01:13:55.500
Thank you, Mr. Turney Richards
1417
01:13:54.500 --> 01:13:57.500
only for the applicant. I'll go over to Professor Wade
1418
01:13:57.500 --> 01:14:01.500
in a moment, but I just wanted to highlight that
1419
01:14:01.500 --> 01:14:04.500
the suggestion that the stone curly
1420
01:14:04.500 --> 01:14:06.800
mitigation plots compensation areas were.
1421
01:14:07.700 --> 01:14:12.700
Going to be left unproven untested
1422
01:14:12.700 --> 01:14:13.700
is not correct.
```

```
1423
01:14:15.500 --> 01:14:19.900
We're proposing a maintenance regime
1424
01:14:18.900 --> 01:14:21.800
and that's going
1425
01:14:21.800 --> 01:14:21.800
to be
1426
01:14:22.800 --> 01:14:26.300
implemented in accordance with the measures in
1427
01:14:26.300 --> 01:14:29.600
the length which includes an ecological Advisory
1428
01:14:29.600 --> 01:14:29.900
Group
1429
01:14:30.700 --> 01:14:31.000
which
1430
01:14:31.900 --> 01:14:34.900
Will include those interested in
1431
01:14:34.900 --> 01:14:38.000
stone curly, so the idea
1432
01:14:37.300 --> 01:14:40.400
that sort of there's a
1433
01:14:40.400 --> 01:14:43.400
proposal for those plots to come forward and
1434
01:14:43.400 --> 01:14:46.500
then be left to their own devices is not
1435
01:14:46.500 --> 01:14:50.800
correct. That's not what the length proposes but I'll
1436
```

```
01:14:49.800 --> 01:14:52.800
hand it Professor way to address the
1437
01:14:52.800 --> 01:14:53.600
specific points made.
1438
01:14:55.700 --> 01:14:58.200
And Max Wade for the
1439
01:14:58.200 --> 01:14:58.800
applicant.
1440
01:14:59.800 --> 01:15:03.300
Just to address the historical data
1441
01:15:02.300 --> 01:15:05.700
point we can certainly provide
1442
01:15:05.700 --> 01:15:08.400
those data and they are
1443
01:15:08.400 --> 01:15:12.100
sort of confidential and hence haven't been submitted
1444
01:15:11.100 --> 01:15:14.400
and from the
1445
01:15:14.400 --> 01:15:17.800
point of Public Access, but we we can
1446
01:15:17.800 --> 01:15:18.400
certainly do that.
01:15:19.300 --> 01:15:19.600
and
1448
01:15:22.700 --> 01:15:25.400
going back to the the density of
1449
01:15:25.400 --> 01:15:29.000
stone curly within the area clearly one
```

```
1450
01:15:29.200 --> 01:15:32.300
of the reasons why it is so low, is that
1451
01:15:32.300 --> 01:15:36.100
the both the habitat that's
1452
01:15:35.100 --> 01:15:38.700
available to the stone kill you is is very
1453
01:15:38.700 --> 01:15:41.400
much suboptimal and the amount
1454
01:15:41.400 --> 01:15:44.900
that's available to them at any one time is is obviously relatively
1455
01:15:44.900 --> 01:15:45.200
low.
1456
01:15:46.100 --> 01:15:49.500
So addressing the the points in terms of the
1457
01:15:49.500 --> 01:15:54.100
the fields that were mentioned and eo5v12
1458
01:15:52.100 --> 01:15:57.300
and e13. They
1459
01:15:55.300 --> 01:15:59.200
are had been
1460
01:15:59.200 --> 01:16:00.500
recently.
1461
01:16:01.600 --> 01:16:05.000
Suitable for stone curly within the
1462
01:16:04.600 --> 01:16:07.100
arable regime that
```

```
01:16:07.100 --> 01:16:11.500
we are assessing and would
1464
01:16:10.500 --> 01:16:13.600
be working with there's no
1465
01:16:13.600 --> 01:16:16.200
quarantee that in 2023 or
1466
01:16:16.200 --> 01:16:19.800
2024 and those fields will
1467
01:16:19.800 --> 01:16:22.900
remain in a in a
1468
01:16:22.900 --> 01:16:25.300
crop and state that will
1469
01:16:25.300 --> 01:16:28.200
be suitable for and stay curly. In fact
1470
01:16:28.200 --> 01:16:31.800
almost by definition in terms of the rotational and
1471
01:16:31.800 --> 01:16:34.500
Agriculture and that's some
1472
01:16:34.500 --> 01:16:35.200
point they won't be
1473
01:16:36.100 --> 01:16:41.100
so if you combine those two two factors suboptimal habitat
1474
01:16:40.100 --> 01:16:41.700
and
1475
01:16:43.800 --> 01:16:46.900
highly unpredictable and crop typing
1476
01:16:46.900 --> 01:16:49.100
and crop types in terms of
```

```
1477
01:16:49.100 --> 01:16:53.700
the birds themselves and our approach
1478
01:16:52.700 --> 01:16:55.800
is to firstly to
1479
01:16:55.800 --> 01:16:57.300
provide a habitat. That is
1480
01:16:58.100 --> 01:17:01.900
designed and maintained four stone
1481
01:17:01.900 --> 01:17:02.300
curly
1482
01:17:03.200 --> 01:17:06.900
so see a significant increase in the and
1483
01:17:06.900 --> 01:17:09.300
the likelihood that birds would would
1484
01:17:09.300 --> 01:17:12.400
use them and but also
1485
01:17:12.400 --> 01:17:12.800
to
1486
01:17:14.600 --> 01:17:18.100
Provide that for a number of decades and
1487
01:17:17.100 --> 01:17:20.200
so the birds will be able to
1488
01:17:20.200 --> 01:17:24.700
to use them on a
1489
01:17:24.700 --> 01:17:28.400
long-term basis but more importantly and there
1490
```

```
01:17:27.400 --> 01:17:30.200
is protection associated with
1491
01:17:30.200 --> 01:17:32.900
that as well as the as well as the habitat quality.
1492
01:17:34.100 --> 01:17:37.400
And this isn't an approach that we've made up our
1493
01:17:37.400 --> 01:17:40.200
cells. This is an approach that has been
1494
01:17:40.200 --> 01:17:44.500
successfully used by the rsvb locally
1495
01:17:43.500 --> 01:17:47.000
within within breckland. And
1496
01:17:46.200 --> 01:17:49.900
so it is tried and
01:17:49.900 --> 01:17:53.000
tested and it does work and it
1498
01:17:52.200 --> 01:17:54.100
doesn't work.
1499
01:17:55.600 --> 01:17:58.300
Like clockwork and there will be
1500
01:17:58.300 --> 01:18:01.400
and the changes from year to
1501
01:18:01.400 --> 01:18:02.400
year. No doubt. But again
1502
01:18:04.400 --> 01:18:07.500
We are talking about around about
1503
01:18:07.500 --> 01:18:10.500
four pairs on a precautionary basis four to
```

```
1504
01:18:10.500 --> 01:18:12.600
 five pairs that have been provided with.
1505
01:18:14.500 --> 01:18:17.600
appropriate areas in which they can
1506
01:18:17.600 --> 01:18:21.100
breathe and I think also importantly to
1507
01:18:20.100 --> 01:18:23.700
bring into the discussion is
1508
01:18:23.700 --> 01:18:25.300
the availability of foraging
1509
01:18:26.500 --> 01:18:29.900
which again in a number of the crop
1510
01:18:29.900 --> 01:18:32.500
types is not readily available to the stone
1511
01:18:32.500 --> 01:18:35.400
curly you where they're nesting. They then have to
1512
01:18:35.400 --> 01:18:38.500
fly sometimes significant
1513
01:18:38.500 --> 01:18:41.300
distances in order to find food and
1514
01:18:41.300 --> 01:18:46.000
then come back to the come back to the nest the scheme
1515
01:18:44.300 --> 01:18:48.600
that we're proposing provides
1516
01:18:47.600 --> 01:18:50.100
and origin on
```

```
01:18:50.100 --> 01:18:54.100
the doorstep and removes and both the energy
1518
01:18:53.100 --> 01:18:57.100
requirements to be flying
1519
01:18:56.100 --> 01:18:59.600
backwards and forwards but also the territory risk
1520
01:18:59.600 --> 01:19:02.600
that they had their exposed to in that sort
1521
01:19:02.600 --> 01:19:06.100
of situation. So combining those
1522
01:19:05.100 --> 01:19:08.300
elements together gives us
1523
01:19:08.300 --> 01:19:11.500
considerable confidence that not only
1524
01:19:11.500 --> 01:19:14.100
will this work that it will
1525
01:19:14.100 --> 01:19:17.300
provide the opportunity for more
1526
01:19:17.300 --> 01:19:20.400
staying clearly used to benefit from and the habitat
1527
01:19:20.400 --> 01:19:22.400
that is being created.
1528
01:19:24.300 --> 01:19:27.600
Just bridge to anything. Could I
1529
01:19:27.600 --> 01:19:30.900
just briefly add because it goes to West suffer
1530
01:19:30.900 --> 01:19:31.700
council's point that
```

```
1531
01:19:32.800 --> 01:19:35.700
We need to be careful here with just saying avoid because
1532
01:19:35.700 --> 01:19:39.000
for the reasons the professor way describes not
1533
01:19:38.600 --> 01:19:42.500
developing a particular plot does
1534
01:19:41.500 --> 01:19:44.500
not mean that it will be retained as
1535
01:19:44.500 --> 01:19:45.200
suitable habitat.
1536
01:19:45.800 --> 01:19:48.600
For stone curly and for the reasons he gives it
1537
01:19:48.600 --> 01:19:50.600
won't be because of crop rotation.
1538
01:19:51.300 --> 01:19:54.500
So I think the council when they say you
1539
01:19:54.500 --> 01:19:57.200
should just avoid this plot needs to be confident to the
1540
01:19:57.200 --> 01:20:00.500
fact that in particular crop rotations that may or
1541
01:20:00.500 --> 01:20:02.000
may not be suitable for stone earlier.
1542
01:20:02.600 --> 01:20:06.000
Nesting is breeding habitat, and we can't
1543
01:20:05.800 --> 01:20:08.100
secure that if we're not to develop
```

```
01:20:08.100 --> 01:20:12.000
it for the scheme. What the scheme does is it makes permanent
1545
01:20:11.600 --> 01:20:14.900
provision for suitable habitat.
1546
01:20:17.300 --> 01:20:21.000
Thank you. Just one thing. I would like to clarify if
1547
01:20:20.200 --> 01:20:23.200
any of the experts present can
1548
01:20:23.200 --> 01:20:26.400
help me. I'm aware the tin other parts of the country where
1549
01:20:26.400 --> 01:20:29.700
Stone Cold use are breeding. There are local
1550
01:20:29.700 --> 01:20:32.400
management projects involving the
01:20:32.400 --> 01:20:35.100
rspb local Wildlife traffic. Does anyone
1552
01:20:35.100 --> 01:20:38.400
know whether there's such a scheme operating in this area?
1553
01:20:39.600 --> 01:20:42.100
the wildlife trust or one of the local authorities
1554
01:20:45.500 --> 01:20:49.100
Jackie Fisher Westford Council, so in
1555
01:20:48.100 --> 01:20:52.200
the breckland area the rspb
1556
01:20:51.200 --> 01:20:54.700
do regularly monitor Stone colds
1557
01:20:54.700 --> 01:20:57.900
in some situations. So they don't monitor holistically across
```

```
1558
01:20:57.900 --> 01:21:01.000
 the Brett but they do monitor Stone curlers
1559
01:21:00.200 --> 01:21:03.300
for particular landowners who set aside
1560
01:21:03.300 --> 01:21:06.600
and plots for stone curlews.
1561
01:21:07.500 --> 01:21:10.000
but you don't have to know whether any of the
1562
01:21:11.100 --> 01:21:14.100
land within the order limits his monitors part of that
1563
01:21:14.100 --> 01:21:17.000
monitoring scheme, is that outside that area so
1564
01:21:18.200 --> 01:21:21.100
So far as I'm aware and group, it might be able to back me up
1565
01:21:21.100 --> 01:21:25.200
on this but I believe that rspb has monitored
1566
01:21:24.200 --> 01:21:27.600
eco3 and each 12 that
1567
01:21:27.600 --> 01:21:30.000
sort of area for a number of years.
1568
01:21:30.900 --> 01:21:33.000
Maybe even the applicant can tell
1569
01:21:33.200 --> 01:21:36.000
me, you know can clarify whether that's true or not,
1570
01:21:36.300 --> 01:21:37.100
but I believe that's the case.
```

```
01:21:40.900 --> 01:21:43.600
So I I can't speak to specific
1572
01:21:43.600 --> 01:21:46.300
field Parcels, but I do know that
1573
01:21:46.300 --> 01:21:49.500
the rspb has monitored some areas
1574
01:21:49.500 --> 01:21:52.300
with within the scheme limits. Yes,
1575
01:21:52.300 --> 01:21:54.300
and and there are
1576
01:21:55.400 --> 01:21:58.900
Management projects that the rspb
1577
01:21:58.900 --> 01:22:01.400
has been involved with the many years
1578
01:22:01.400 --> 01:22:04.700
in the brex working with local farmers
1579
01:22:04.700 --> 01:22:08.100
 and land owners to provide nesting habitat
1580
01:22:07.100 --> 01:22:11.200
for stone Curley's on just
1581
01:22:10.200 --> 01:22:14.400
to respond to the point on avoidance.
1582
01:22:13.400 --> 01:22:15.100
I think.
1583
01:22:16.100 --> 01:22:18.400
to my mind the point is that
1584
01:22:19.300 --> 01:22:22.700
E12 were it to have solar
```

```
1585
01:22:22.700 --> 01:22:26.300
panels constructed on it would be removed
1586
01:22:25.300 --> 01:22:26.800
1587
01:22:27.800 --> 01:22:30.800
potentially being suitable for nesting Stone
1588
01:22:30.800 --> 01:22:34.300
curlers in the future. So although yes, there
1589
01:22:33.300 --> 01:22:36.200
is a rotation of crops within the
1590
01:22:36.200 --> 01:22:39.600
area and different fields might be suitable for
1591
01:22:39.600 --> 01:22:43.800
staying early nesting in different years removing a
1592
01:22:43.800 --> 01:22:46.200
field from that rotation means that
1593
01:22:46.200 --> 01:22:49.800
that field will no longer be available to the suitable for
1594
01:22:49.800 --> 01:22:51.800
saying earliest in future years.
1595
01:22:53.100 --> 01:22:57.700
Thank you. If any of you can actually clarify possibly
1596
01:22:56.700 --> 01:22:59.300
with the rspb whether
1597
01:22:59.300 --> 01:23:02.700
there are any management monitoring schemes
1598
```

```
01:23:02.700 --> 01:23:05.200
operating within the area of the
1599
01:23:05.200 --> 01:23:06.700
order limits, that would be very helpful.
1600
01:23:08.800 --> 01:23:11.400
But a Max wage for the for the applicant
1601
01:23:11.400 --> 01:23:14.500
I can concern that. You know that that is the case the RSPV
1602
01:23:14.500 --> 01:23:18.000
have volunteers who undertake undertake
1603
01:23:17.500 --> 01:23:20.000
surveys and right from the
1604
01:23:20.500 --> 01:23:24.400
outset. We have been liaising with the rsvb and we
1605
01:23:23.400 --> 01:23:26.700
have we were able during the covid
1606
01:23:26.700 --> 01:23:29.500
pandemic. For example, when volunteers weren't able
1607
01:23:29.500 --> 01:23:32.600
to do surveys within the area and we were we
1608
01:23:32.600 --> 01:23:35.300
were able to provide data to fit into
1609
01:23:35.300 --> 01:23:38.700
the scheme. And yes, there are farmers within
1610
01:23:38.700 --> 01:23:41.200
the within the wider area
1611
01:23:41.200 --> 01:23:45.300
who are sort of part of the scheme and we
```

```
1612
01:23:44.300 --> 01:23:48.200
would very much sort
1613
01:23:47.200 --> 01:23:50.600
of see an integration of the
1614
01:23:50.600 --> 01:23:53.500
work that in Karen and
1615
01:23:53.500 --> 01:23:57.300
the rspp team doing with what we
1616
01:23:57.300 --> 01:24:01.200
would be what we are proposing for just curly
1617
01:24:00.200 --> 01:24:03.100
in the in the wider scheme of
1618
01:24:03.100 --> 01:24:03.200
things.
1619
01:24:04.200 --> 01:24:05.800
Thank you. Thank you.
1620
01:24:06.600 --> 01:24:08.200
I've had to move on now to
1621
01:24:10.400 --> 01:24:11.700
sorry, Mr. Bedford.
1622
01:24:17.900 --> 01:24:21.000
Michael Bedford something Council apologies,
1623
01:24:20.500 --> 01:24:24.200
obviously in relation
1624
01:24:23.200 --> 01:24:27.000
to primary ecological matters.
```

```
01:24:26.100 --> 01:24:29.700
 We defer to West Suffolk council is why
1626
01:24:29.700 --> 01:24:32.400
I haven't contributed anything. That's all this
1627
01:24:32.400 --> 01:24:32.800
topic.
1628
01:24:33.800 --> 01:24:36.400
the point I'm raising really is just point seeking some
1629
01:24:36.400 --> 01:24:39.500
clarification from some of the things the applicant had said
1630
01:24:39.500 --> 01:24:40.600
1631
01:24:42.100 --> 01:24:45.600
Future management of stone curly plots
1632
01:24:45.600 --> 01:24:48.600
and in part, it's relevant
1633
01:24:48.600 --> 01:24:51.500
to us because E12 you'll be aware is a
1634
01:24:51.500 --> 01:24:54.600
parcel where for landscape reasons. We have particular
1635
01:24:54.600 --> 01:24:57.500
issues, but there are just two points. I just would
1636
01:24:57.500 --> 01:25:00.700
like a little bit of clarification on so far
1637
01:25:00.700 --> 01:25:03.400
as the plots that are to be provided to
1638
01:25:03.400 --> 01:25:06.300
Stone curly lots are concerned.
```

```
1639
01:25:07.100 --> 01:25:11.700
And their future monitoring I had understood from
1640
01:25:10.700 --> 01:25:13.700
I think it's rep three
1641
01:25:13.700 --> 01:25:16.300
dash o 12 which is
1642
01:25:16.300 --> 01:25:19.300
the track change version of the
1643
01:25:19.300 --> 01:25:21.000
updated outline length.
1644
01:25:21.900 --> 01:25:23.700
from paragraph
1645
01:25:24.500 --> 01:25:28.300
in the track change version 1.8.30
1646
01:25:29.700 --> 01:25:33.400
that it was suggested that post-construction monitoring
1647
01:25:32.400 --> 01:25:35.300
of the success of the
1648
01:25:35.300 --> 01:25:39.600
stone. Clearly plots will be undertaken annually between
1649
01:25:38.600 --> 01:25:42.100
March and September for five
1650
01:25:41.100 --> 01:25:44.900
years those construction and
1651
01:25:44.900 --> 01:25:46.600
then again in year 10
```

```
01:25:47.800 --> 01:25:51.900
But not for the duration of
1653
01:25:50.900 --> 01:25:53.500
the project.
1654
01:25:55.900 --> 01:25:59.500
And secondly and and
1655
01:25:58.500 --> 01:26:01.700
said separately I understood
1656
01:26:01.700 --> 01:26:03.800
from what Mr. Turney said.
1657
01:26:04.600 --> 01:26:08.200
In relation to the provision of
1658
01:26:07.200 --> 01:26:10.100
plots that there would be the provision of
01:26:10.100 --> 01:26:12.400
permanent suitable habitat.
1660
01:26:13.600 --> 01:26:16.600
But our understanding was that the applicant had been
1661
01:26:16.600 --> 01:26:19.400
so far at any rate clear that
1662
01:26:19.400 --> 01:26:22.600
the end of the 40 year Project Life.
1663
01:26:24.200 --> 01:26:27.600
The intention is that all land will revert.
1664
01:26:28.400 --> 01:26:32.400
To as it were the previous landowners and thereafter.
1665
01:26:33.700 --> 01:26:36.100
Management is essentially at large. It depends
```

```
1666
01:26:36.100 --> 01:26:36.200
on
1667
01:26:37.500 --> 01:26:40.800
What is proposed by those landowners
1668
01:26:40.800 --> 01:26:43.600
and whatever regulatory regime is in place
1669
01:26:43.600 --> 01:26:46.200
at that time rather than the word permanent? I
1670
01:26:46.200 --> 01:26:49.900
don't think it was intended to be beyond the intention
1671
01:26:49.900 --> 01:26:50.600
of the project but
1672
01:26:51.300 --> 01:26:54.300
So just be helpful just have some clarification about those two
points.
1673
01:26:56.300 --> 01:27:00.300
Thank you Rich Tony for the applicant yes, Mr.
01:26:59.300 --> 01:27:02.300
Bedford says it's 40 years, sorry for
1675
01:27:02.300 --> 01:27:06.100
saying the word permanent the annual monitoring
1676
01:27:05.100 --> 01:27:09.300
is something we've agreed to with in
1677
01:27:08.300 --> 01:27:11.800
discussions with natural England and other ecological stakeholders,
1678
01:27:11.800 --> 01:27:12.300
 so
```

```
1679
01:27:13.100 --> 01:27:17.000
That can be reflected in an updated version of the lamp in due
1680
01:27:16.000 --> 01:27:17.200
course.
1681
01:27:17.900 --> 01:27:20.700
Right, so we'll we'll expect
1682
01:27:20.700 --> 01:27:23.100
to update in the length. Thank you.
1683
01:27:24.400 --> 01:27:25.000
right
1684
01:27:29.400 --> 01:27:32.400
Okay. I'm just going to press on
1685
01:27:32.400 --> 01:27:35.900
 in the hope that we can actually get through
1686
01:27:35.900 --> 01:27:38.200
collision by diversity and then have a break.
1687
01:27:38.900 --> 01:27:42.400
so moving on to other ecological
01:27:41.400 --> 01:27:43.200
receptors
1689
01:27:44.400 --> 01:27:47.000
and to the applicant relation to Badgers
1690
01:27:48.100 --> 01:27:51.400
the local authorities have raised concerned in relation to loss of
1691
01:27:51.400 --> 01:27:55.100
access to foraging land and connectivity across Clan territories
1692
01:27:54.100 --> 01:27:57.100
```

```
and have identified a need for
1693
01:27:57.100 --> 01:28:01.300
further survey work and for enhance mitigation measures including
01:28:00.300 --> 01:28:03.600
allowing bad directs access into
1695
01:28:03.600 --> 01:28:04.700
solar arrays.
1696
01:28:05.500 --> 01:28:08.700
Could you please update us on whether you're making any progress
1697
01:28:08.700 --> 01:28:09.700
on these issues?
1698
01:28:10.500 --> 01:28:12.700
Again, and there's people this way.
1699
01:28:14.100 --> 01:28:17.600
Remember Max Wade for the Applicant. I can
1700
01:28:17.600 --> 01:28:22.300
to reassure you that progress has
1701
01:28:22.300 --> 01:28:25.500
has been made in probably in two areas
1702
01:28:25.500 --> 01:28:27.300
one the
1703
01:28:29.600 --> 01:28:32.900
The country is the case in terms of foraging there
1704
01:28:32.900 --> 01:28:35.800
will be significantly more foraging available badges
1705
01:28:35.800 --> 01:28:38.500
given the considerable extent of
```

```
1706
01:28:38.500 --> 01:28:41.700
grassland within the the seller arrays
1707
01:28:41.700 --> 01:28:46.000
badges will have access to this and and
1708
01:28:45.200 --> 01:28:49.000
then secondly with the
1709
01:28:48.800 --> 01:28:51.300
changes in the Burwell and
1710
01:28:51.300 --> 01:28:54.100
the station and where there was
1711
01:28:54.100 --> 01:28:57.900
a main Badger set and that
1712
01:28:57.900 --> 01:29:00.300
now will not be the affected. So
1713
01:29:00.300 --> 01:29:03.400
overall and we have agreed with
1714
01:29:03.400 --> 01:29:06.000
natural natural England and you know,
01:29:06.200 --> 01:29:06.300
there is
1716
01:29:07.700 --> 01:29:11.100
Badges are have
1717
01:29:10.100 --> 01:29:13.400
not become have not now
1718
01:29:13.400 --> 01:29:16.500
an issue and we've been
1719
01:29:16.500 --> 01:29:17.200
```

```
able to agree that.
1720
01:29:21.100 --> 01:29:25.000
Everyone for West of the council I can confirm from the for map
01:29:24.900 --> 01:29:27.600
20. That's correct. The
1722
01:29:27.600 --> 01:29:31.900
issue of badges is thoughts have been now satisfactory address
1723
01:29:30.900 --> 01:29:33.700
the
1724
01:29:34.400 --> 01:29:37.800
and some timetable pressure but
1725
01:29:37.800 --> 01:29:40.500
so far as to be in the agenda.
1726
01:29:41.700 --> 01:29:44.000
In respective Stone curly use that referred to
1727
01:29:44.100 --> 01:29:47.500
the adequacy of the proposed mitigation and we dealt
01:29:47.500 --> 01:29:50.200
with impacts, but we didn't pause to deal
1729
01:29:50.200 --> 01:29:54.200
with that. I don't know whether you want to hear from me will
1730
01:29:53.200 --> 01:29:57.300
be able to a a
1731
01:29:57.300 --> 01:30:00.500
pace if that helps what the outstanding
1732
01:30:00.500 --> 01:30:04.300
issues are in respect to the proposed mitigation strategy Stone
```

```
1733
01:30:03.300 --> 01:30:05.700
careers. Yes.
1734
01:30:06.900 --> 01:30:10.100
And thank you very much that there are
1735
01:30:09.100 --> 01:30:13.700
and you'll see now I say I take it the swiftly
1736
01:30:13.700 --> 01:30:16.300
as I can that there are six issues that have
1737
01:30:16.300 --> 01:30:17.000
been identified.
1738
01:30:17.800 --> 01:30:20.300
And that the first and again your reference
1739
01:30:20.300 --> 01:30:22.000
is nir 8.64.
1740
01:30:23.200 --> 01:30:27.100
Is in respect of The Wider grassland
1741
01:30:26.100 --> 01:30:29.500
areas particularly the height and
1742
01:30:29.500 --> 01:30:30.800
density of the sword areas.
1743
01:30:32.200 --> 01:30:33.000
the applicants
1744
01:30:34.300 --> 01:30:37.800
a position is that that can
1745
01:30:37.800 --> 01:30:40.400
be dealt with at the detailed length stage? That's a page
1746
01:30:40.400 --> 01:30:41.500
```

```
23 of the response.
1747
01:30:42.300 --> 01:30:45.200
And wsc's position
1748
01:30:45.200 --> 01:30:48.100
so that you've got it is that that ought
1749
01:30:48.100 --> 01:30:51.200
to be addressed in advance of that of that stage? So
1750
01:30:51.200 --> 01:30:54.300
it's a timing issue as it were between the parties on
1751
01:30:54.300 --> 01:30:54.500
that.
1752
01:30:55.400 --> 01:30:58.500
At Point 2 is the issue
1753
01:30:58.500 --> 01:31:01.500
of public recreational access and dog walking
1754
01:31:01.500 --> 01:31:05.200
routes and so on. And again, the reference is 8.148
01:31:04.200 --> 01:31:06.500
of the LIRR.
1756
01:31:07.100 --> 01:31:10.400
And that is a point which so far as we can see hasn't
1757
01:31:10.400 --> 01:31:14.200
been specifically addressed within the applicants response.
1758
01:31:13.200 --> 01:31:16.200
But again, our position is that is
1759
01:31:16.200 --> 01:31:19.500
not a matter to be held off to the detail of
```

```
1760
01:31:19.500 --> 01:31:19.900
the stage.
1761
01:31:21.200 --> 01:31:23.400
The third is monitoring.
1762
01:31:24.600 --> 01:31:28.000
In the suitable habitat within 500 meter
1763
01:31:27.200 --> 01:31:30.600
of meters of the order limits.
1764
01:31:31.400 --> 01:31:34.500
again reference Lira 8.66 and
1765
01:31:34.500 --> 01:31:35.500
8.67
1766
01:31:36.700 --> 01:31:39.000
and the applicant's position page 24
1767
01:31:40.100 --> 01:31:44.000
is that monitoring can take place prior to enduring?
1768
01:31:44.900 --> 01:31:48.400
Operations so far as that is concerned. We
01:31:47.400 --> 01:31:50.200
are now satisfied with the position in
1770
01:31:50.200 --> 01:31:53.400
respect of that. So that's that's point three on my
1771
01:31:53.400 --> 01:31:53.600
list.
1772
01:31:55.300 --> 01:31:59.300
At point four is insufficient detail
1773
01:31:58.300 --> 01:32:01.100
```

```
and respect of offsetting measures.
1774
01:32:02.200 --> 01:32:05.300
And I don't take you through all of those proposed measures,
1775
01:32:05.300 --> 01:32:08.600
but that your reference in the nir is 8.58.
1776
01:32:10.300 --> 01:32:14.100
And associated with that is a concern identified
1777
01:32:13.100 --> 01:32:16.200
at 8.172 in respect of
1778
01:32:16.200 --> 01:32:20.700
rabbits and you'll appreciate the relationship in
1779
01:32:20.700 --> 01:32:23.500
terms of significance between rabbits and stone currently is
1780
01:32:23.500 --> 01:32:26.600
and so far as that is concerned the applicant as
1781
01:32:26.600 --> 01:32:30.200
we understand it. And again the references page 18 of their
1782
01:32:29.200 --> 01:32:33.100
response, but knowledge, is that the
1783
01:32:32.100 --> 01:32:35.000
detail provided?
1784
01:32:35.700 --> 01:32:38.500
That is not yet been provided and so as
1785
01:32:38.500 --> 01:32:39.400
things stand.
1786
01:32:40.300 --> 01:32:43.300
So far as that strand of the mitigation strategy, we're
```

```
1787
01:32:43.300 --> 01:32:46.600
not in a position to assess the effectiveness of that until that
1788
01:32:46.600 --> 01:32:47.600
material is provided.
1789
01:32:48.600 --> 01:32:50.100
So far as the rabbits element.
1790
01:32:51.200 --> 01:32:55.300
Of this issue. We
1791
01:32:54.300 --> 01:32:58.400
can't at the moment detect any specific
1792
01:32:58.400 --> 01:33:01.500
response from the applicant in that within the written response.
1793
01:33:02.400 --> 01:33:04.700
at five of six
1794
01:33:05.600 --> 01:33:08.900
Is the potential friction that's
1795
01:33:08.900 --> 01:33:11.600
been identified in the lir and 8.63?
1796
01:33:13.300 --> 01:33:16.300
between ecology and archeology
1797
01:33:17.400 --> 01:33:20.200
in respect to parcel ec01
1798
01:33:21.700 --> 01:33:24.300
And the issue there. Is that
1799
01:33:24.300 --> 01:33:26.700
whereas the applicant strategy.
1800
01:33:27.500 --> 01:33:30.200
```

```
addresses the archaeological areas identified in
1801
01:33:30.200 --> 01:33:31.100
1802
01:33:32.200 --> 01:33:36.800
the parameter plan as we read it identifies Eco
1803
01:33:36.800 --> 01:33:38.800
one as an archaeological area.
1804
01:33:40.300 --> 01:33:42.800
And so the concern is the
1805
01:33:44.200 --> 01:33:47.300
the potential conflict
1806
01:33:48.400 --> 01:33:51.500
between Stone Curlew plots in
1807
01:33:51.500 --> 01:33:54.500
ec01 and archaeological management
1808
01:33:54.500 --> 01:33:55.400
strategy.
1809
01:33:56.200 --> 01:33:59.100
And so far as that is considered we understand from the
1810
01:33:59.100 --> 01:34:01.300
applicant from 8 points.
1811
01:34:02.900 --> 01:34:05.200
No from their response.
1812
01:34:06.300 --> 01:34:07.100
that
1813
01:34:08.500 --> 01:34:11.000
that information is to be provided. In fact,
```

```
1814
01:34:11.200 --> 01:34:14.200
that's not the written response that's been indicated informally, I
think.
1815
01:34:14.900 --> 01:34:17.100
Is yet to receive that information?
1816
01:34:18.300 --> 01:34:19.700
and the fine
1817
01:34:21.400 --> 01:34:23.000
the final
1818
01:34:25.700 --> 01:34:28.500
components on the list so 0.6 as
1819
01:34:28.500 --> 01:34:29.000
I put it
1820
01:34:29.900 --> 01:34:32.800
Is in respect of a contingency plan, you'll
1821
01:34:32.800 --> 01:34:36.500
have seen reference to that at 8.150 the
1822
01:34:35.500 --> 01:34:37.100
lir.
1823
01:34:38.300 --> 01:34:41.400
and the Applicant position on that is set out a
1824
01:34:41.400 --> 01:34:44.600
Pages 53 and 54 of the respondents which is say
1825
01:34:44.600 --> 01:34:45.000
that
1826
01:34:45.800 --> 01:34:48.200
the remit of the ecology Advisory Group
1827
```

```
01:34:50.700 --> 01:34:53.400
it includes being able to to
1828
01:34:55.300 --> 01:34:58.600
apply flexibility when necessary or required I
1829
01:34:58.600 --> 01:35:00.500
paraphrase but I think is the point that's being made.
1830
01:35:01.600 --> 01:35:03.000
And again our position on that.
1831
01:35:04.100 --> 01:35:05.800
Is an issue as timing?
1832
01:35:07.100 --> 01:35:10.300
That because so far as West Suffolk counselor concerned that
1833
01:35:10.300 --> 01:35:11.800
is an issue that ought to be addressed.
1834
01:35:12.900 --> 01:35:15.600
Early on and not
1835
01:35:15.600 --> 01:35:17.900
left to detail.
1836
01:35:19.100 --> 01:35:22.100
So that I hope isn't a Whistle Stop tour as it were of the six.
1837
01:35:22.900 --> 01:35:25.300
That the six observation we have to make
1838
01:35:25.300 --> 01:35:28.200
in respect of the proposed mitigation strategy.
1839
01:35:28.900 --> 01:35:30.100
Thank you very much that.
1840
01:35:32.100 --> 01:35:34.900
before I move on to the applicant Wildlife Trust
```

```
1841
01:35:35.900 --> 01:35:38.300
Thank you, Mayfield. Soccer Wildlife trust.
1842
01:35:38.300 --> 01:35:42.200
I just have an overarching observation and
1843
01:35:42.200 --> 01:35:45.200
comment on the proposed mitigation, which is that
1844
01:35:45.200 --> 01:35:49.400
is very focused on habitat establishment
1845
01:35:48.400 --> 01:35:52.400
 and management prescriptions rather
1846
01:35:51.400 --> 01:35:54.700
than outcomes in
1847
01:35:54.700 --> 01:35:57.700
terms of numbers of nesting Stone Curly's
1848
01:35:57.700 --> 01:36:00.200
and I think there is a case to be
1849
01:36:00.200 --> 01:36:03.800
made that an outcomes objective for
1850
01:36:03.800 --> 01:36:07.000
the limitation proposed should
1851
01:36:06.100 --> 01:36:07.600
be built in
1852
01:36:09.400 --> 01:36:13.200
clearly the overarching aim of mitigation for
1853
01:36:12.200 --> 01:36:15.400
stone early should be that there
```

```
01:36:15.400 --> 01:36:18.700
will be at least no reduction in the numbers
1855
01:36:18.700 --> 01:36:21.600
of pairs of Stone Cold use nesting
1856
01:36:21.600 --> 01:36:24.400
successfully within the scheme area and
1857
01:36:24.400 --> 01:36:25.100
the surrounding
1858
01:36:26.800 --> 01:36:27.900
500 meter buffer
1859
01:36:28.500 --> 01:36:32.600
and arguably that could be extended but I
1860
01:36:31.600 --> 01:36:35.200
would like to see that outcome
1861
01:36:34.200 --> 01:36:37.500
built into the mitigation
1862
01:36:37.500 --> 01:36:38.200
proposals.
1863
01:36:39.200 --> 01:36:43.100
Are there any further commercial mitigation before I asked Mr.
Turney
1864
01:36:42.100 --> 01:36:44.700
to respond on behalf of the applicant?
1865
01:36:48.200 --> 01:36:51.100
Well this attorney wanting actually if you
1866
01:36:51.100 --> 01:36:54.300
could build into your answers is a question for
1867
01:36:54.300 --> 01:36:57.900
```

```
me really would the mitigation proposals in your
1868
01:36:57.900 --> 01:37:00.800
opinion allow for potential expansion
01:37:00.800 --> 01:37:03.700
of the stone fairly population rather
1870
01:37:03.700 --> 01:37:06.200
than maintenance just add that
1871
01:37:06.200 --> 01:37:09.200
on these. Thank you Richardson if the
1872
01:37:09.200 --> 01:37:09.600
applicant I think
1873
01:37:10.400 --> 01:37:14.300
Mr. Grant six points probably we
1874
01:37:13.300 --> 01:37:16.400
should come back to in our recent summary to give
1875
01:37:16.400 --> 01:37:18.500
a numbered response to each of them because there's quite a lot of
1876
01:37:19.400 --> 01:37:22.000
fine detail there to pick
1877
01:37:22.400 --> 01:37:25.900
up a couple of the the big issues. I just
1878
01:37:25.900 --> 01:37:28.900
wanted to note that in terms of the ecological Advisory
1879
01:37:28.900 --> 01:37:31.900
Group The Proposal is that that should be involved
1880
01:37:31.900 --> 01:37:35.000
in the question of adaptive habitat management. And of
```

```
1881
01:37:34.500 --> 01:37:37.500
course, we can't say what that will be because the point
1882
01:37:37.500 --> 01:37:40.600
is it's adaptive. So I don't know what further detail
1883
01:37:40.600 --> 01:37:43.800
the counselor pushing for but that's clearly
1884
01:37:43.800 --> 01:37:47.000
Our intention that there will be an effort between
1885
01:37:46.300 --> 01:37:49.300
the applicant and the
1886
01:37:49.300 --> 01:37:52.400
local authorities and the wildlife trusts to
1887
01:37:52.400 --> 01:37:56.600
 ensure that the habitat management
1888
01:37:55.600 --> 01:37:58.600
 is being carried out appropriately and
1889
01:37:58.600 --> 01:38:01.700
is kept under review and I think that probably goes also to
1890
01:38:01.700 --> 01:38:05.300
points made by the wildlife trust about identifying
1891
01:38:04.300 --> 01:38:07.800
what the outcome should be from that management which
1892
01:38:07.800 --> 01:38:10.700
seems to be a sensible approach to
1893
01:38:10.700 --> 01:38:13.600
be taking through that ecological Advisory Group.
1894
01:38:14.100 --> 01:38:15.100
```

```
Which they'll be a member?
1895
01:38:15.500 --> 01:38:18.200
And in terms of the only other point I wanted to
01:38:18.200 --> 01:38:22.000
pick up at this stage that were two points. One of them is the
recreational
1897
01:38:21.400 --> 01:38:24.200
access point because I think we have something
1898
01:38:24.200 --> 01:38:27.600
to note on that. And then the last
1899
01:38:27.600 --> 01:38:30.600
Professor Wade also to comment on your question of
1900
01:38:30.600 --> 01:38:34.200
is there scope to provide a
1901
01:38:33.200 --> 01:38:36.800
benefit for the breeding population of
1902
01:38:36.800 --> 01:38:39.600
 stone curly in our assessment. So that's
1903
01:38:39.600 --> 01:38:42.800
it Professor waiting pick up access Public
1904
01:38:42.800 --> 01:38:45.200
Access question. And is there a scope
1905
01:38:45.200 --> 01:38:45.600
of benefit?
1906
01:38:47.900 --> 01:38:51.100
Actually for the applicant in
1907
01:38:50.100 --> 01:38:53.100
terms of Public Access, I think
```

```
1908
01:38:53.100 --> 01:38:56.100
 first point is that and staying clearly you
1909
01:38:56.100 --> 01:38:59.000
do use the area as it is
1910
01:38:59.300 --> 01:39:02.700
at the moment which has Public Access and and
1911
01:39:02.700 --> 01:39:05.900
are able to cope with
1912
01:39:05.900 --> 01:39:08.800
that. However, as I
1913
01:39:08.800 --> 01:39:12.000
explained earlier, we're working to sort
1914
01:39:11.100 --> 01:39:14.400
of quality provision in terms
1915
01:39:14.400 --> 01:39:17.500
of the areas for
1916
01:39:17.500 --> 01:39:20.400
the stone curly and have taken this into
1917
01:39:20.400 --> 01:39:24.300
account and so largely distances from
1918
01:39:23.300 --> 01:39:26.600
disturbance including public rights of
1919
01:39:26.600 --> 01:39:29.300
weight coming right away have and have
1920
01:39:29.300 --> 01:39:31.200
been reduced.
```

```
01:39:31.900 --> 01:39:32.200
and
1922
01:39:33.700 --> 01:39:36.400
in terms of the potential for
1923
01:39:36.400 --> 01:39:39.800
expansion as I referred to
1924
01:39:39.800 --> 01:39:42.200
earlier in relation to the areas
1925
01:39:42.200 --> 01:39:45.500
that have been provided and yes that
1926
01:39:45.500 --> 01:39:48.100
that is certainly the case and and on top
1927
01:39:48.100 --> 01:39:50.800
of that there are grassland areas that
1928
01:39:52.900 --> 01:39:56.400
will be suitable habitat for stone
1929
01:39:55.400 --> 01:39:59.000
clearly certainly again and
1930
01:39:58.500 --> 01:40:01.300
Improvement on the habitat. They currently have
1931
01:40:01.300 --> 01:40:05.300
available to the within within the area and
1932
01:40:04.300 --> 01:40:07.400
we also need to Bear In Mind Of course at
1933
01:40:07.400 --> 01:40:11.600
the surrounding arable agriculture
1934
01:40:10.600 --> 01:40:13.600
will remain and no
```

```
1935
01:40:13.600 --> 01:40:17.000
doubt will be used by Stone curly in terms
1936
01:40:16.000 --> 01:40:17.700
of and
1937
01:40:20.500 --> 01:40:23.100
how we assess that we do need
1938
01:40:23.100 --> 01:40:27.500
to bear in mind and that this is a migratory bird. It
1939
01:40:26.500 --> 01:40:29.300
has not only to cope
1940
01:40:29.300 --> 01:40:32.600
with the variables in
1941
01:40:32.600 --> 01:40:35.000
in our area in our
1942
01:40:35.600 --> 01:40:38.800
landscape. It also has to cope with variables and in
1943
01:40:38.800 --> 01:40:41.300
the factors that impact on
1944
01:40:41.300 --> 01:40:44.900
it in the area that it migrates to so it
1945
01:40:44.900 --> 01:40:48.900
is relatively complex situation, and
1946
01:40:47.900 --> 01:40:50.900
we just need to recognize that. Thank
1947
01:40:50.900 --> 01:40:51.000
you.
1948
```

```
01:40:52.800 --> 01:40:54.200
Thank you, Mr. Kazelko.
1949
01:40:56.100 --> 01:40:59.400
Thank you. Madam, Mr. Woodfield would like to respond to what Miss
1950
01:40:59.400 --> 01:41:01.000
SRM waitress.
1951
01:41:01.900 --> 01:41:04.200
if I may Mom, I don't
1952
01:41:04.200 --> 01:41:05.400
know if it would assist you, but
1953
01:41:06.500 --> 01:41:08.900
What we're hearing here is an awful lot of our evidence.
1954
01:41:09.600 --> 01:41:12.400
Conjecture about what may or
01:41:12.400 --> 01:41:15.100
may not happen and I prefer to deal with
1956
01:41:15.100 --> 01:41:16.000
 evidence-based.
1957
01:41:16.800 --> 01:41:17.800
assertions and
1958
01:41:19.400 --> 01:41:22.100
Simple fact in terms of territory sizes of Stone Cold, you
1959
01:41:22.100 --> 01:41:25.600
don't have to go very far from here to go to one of the most
celebrated sites
1960
01:41:25.600 --> 01:41:28.900
in the country at Wheaton Heath and at around
1961
01:41:28.900 --> 01:41:32.000
```

```
130 hundred and forty yard, hexas and
1962
01:41:31.800 --> 01:41:34.600
that supports two to three breeding pairs maximum.
1963
01:41:34.600 --> 01:41:38.000
Now, that's a site that's in Optimum condition species. So
1964
01:41:37.300 --> 01:41:41.400
it's a simple question of numbers. The question
1965
01:41:41.400 --> 01:41:45.700
was right you put is is there scope for achieving a
1966
01:41:45.700 --> 01:41:48.800
no net loss situation and is there go for expansion and
1967
01:41:48.800 --> 01:41:51.900
my question and I think the question that is exactly
1968
01:41:51.900 --> 01:41:54.400
needs to satisfy itself on his
1969
01:41:54.400 --> 01:41:57.500
is does the actual physical amount of land that's
1970
01:41:57.500 --> 01:42:00.500
being put aside for compensation even in an ideal
1971
01:42:00.500 --> 01:42:03.600
world is it actually capable of doing that when
1972
01:42:03.600 --> 01:42:05.900
you take into account disturbance factors and everything else?
1973
01:42:07.400 --> 01:42:10.100
Thank you. Thank you very
1974
01:42:10.100 --> 01:42:13.200
much Mr. Tony. Did you have another comment? Um
```

```
1975
01:42:13.200 --> 01:42:15.200
Richardson I think.
1976
01:42:16.300 --> 01:42:19.200
To Mr. Woodfield's point I think the key thing that
1977
01:42:19.200 --> 01:42:22.800
you'll want to think about is the view of the statutory and
1978
01:42:22.800 --> 01:42:25.700
Edge conservation body, which obviously you're going to give
significant weight
1979
01:42:25.700 --> 01:42:26.000
1980
01:42:26.700 --> 01:42:26.800
and
1981
01:42:27.700 --> 01:42:30.400
we have been through these issues in
1982
01:42:30.400 --> 01:42:31.800
some detail with them.
1983
01:42:32.200 --> 01:42:35.000
And their special interests in this species because of it.
1984
01:42:35.700 --> 01:42:39.600
Being an interest feature of the spa and we've
1985
01:42:38.600 --> 01:42:41.300
reached a position as you'll see where they
1986
01:42:41.300 --> 01:42:44.400
don't feel they need to come to the examination to object. But also
there they
1987
01:42:44.400 --> 01:42:47.700
get to the point where they're really seeking just clarifications.
So
```

```
1988
01:42:47.700 --> 01:42:50.100
I think I'll leave
1989
01:42:50.100 --> 01:42:51.800
it there, but we would really emphasize.
1990
01:42:52.700 --> 01:42:55.000
It's natural England's position that you're going to want to really
look at
1991
01:42:55.600 --> 01:42:58.600
closely because they're highly alive to stonecurly they've
1992
01:42:58.600 --> 01:43:01.200
done a lot of work on it and they're the
1993
01:43:01.200 --> 01:43:04.300
people responsible and we're getting tradition where they
1994
01:43:04.300 --> 01:43:07.300
appear to be broadly content with our
1995
01:43:07.300 --> 01:43:10.300
proposals subject obviously to clarifications and subject
1996
01:43:10.300 --> 01:43:13.100
to management and delivery, but that's the position that I
1997
01:43:13.100 --> 01:43:14.400
think I'd really wish to emphasize on this.
1998
01:43:14.900 --> 01:43:17.800
Thank you. I am going to break now that just quickly
1999
01:43:17.800 --> 01:43:21.200
before we do Mr. Turney. Can
2000
01:43:20.200 --> 01:43:23.100
you just clarify you mentioned a minute ago that you
```

```
2001
01:43:23.100 --> 01:43:26.600
would respond in detail to some of the more detailed points
2002
01:43:26.600 --> 01:43:29.500
that Mr. Brown raised. So when
2003
01:43:29.500 --> 01:43:32.400
will that be but Richard anything
2004
01:43:32.400 --> 01:43:35.000
for the applicant? I think we'll do that and I'll post here in
summary just to pick up
2005
01:43:35.200 --> 01:43:38.900
his points about rabbits sword height and so on because of
2006
01:43:38.900 --> 01:43:41.300
detail that we can fish out. Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you
2007
01:43:41.300 --> 01:43:45.100
very much. Ladies, and we will break now for 20
2008
01:43:44.100 --> 01:43:47.900
minutes. So could we all return five past
2009
01:43:47.900 --> 01:43:50.900
12 and then I aim to complete ecology
2010
01:43:50.900 --> 01:43:53.100
and by the biodiversity so that
2011
01:43:53.100 --> 01:43:56.300
we can move straight onto your story. Thank you very much.
```